Children and Family Research Center

Risks of Foster Care Re-Entry for Children in Relative & Non-Relative Foster Homes

Eun Koh Ph.D Candidate, M.S.W.

Child Welfare Track – Oral/Paper Session 4 Council on Social Work Education San Francisco, California, October 27-30, 2007



School of Social Work University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Children in Kinship Foster Care

- In 2003, 121,030 children were placed in kinship foster homes
 - 23% of foster care population
- Wide Acceptance & Use of Kinship Placements
 - Attention to comparative outcomes of children in kinship & non-kinship foster care,

Especially in the area of family permanence



Permanency Outcomes of Kin Children

- Disadvantages of Kinship Foster Homes
 - Legal permanence:
 - Reunification & adoption
 - Length of stay in care
- Advantages of Kinship Foster Homes
 - Placement stability
 - Foster care re-entry



Heavily relied on statistical regression model to handle the problem of selection bias

- Limitations of adjusting for pre-existing group differences and selection biases
- Grogan-Kaylor (2001)'s study:

Provided evidence of the limitations of standard regression methods



Purpose of Study

- Examine the permanency outcomes of children in relative foster homes in comparison with children in non-relative foster homes in the contexts of different state child welfare systems
 - Focus on the outcome of foster care re-entry
 - Address the problem of selection bias that has been prevalent in previous literature.
- Research Question:

- Are children in relative foster homes no less likely to re-enter out-ofhome care after their discharge than children in non- relative foster homes?

- Are the effects of placement type the same across the states?



Research Data

- Adoption and Foster Care Analysis Reporting Systems (AFCARS)
 - AFCARS data for 6 states:
 - AZ, CT, IL, MO, OH, & TN
 - AFCARS 6-month submissions from March 2000 to September 2005
 - Observational period of the study:
 - From October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2005



Sampling Procedure

Children who entered out-of-home care for the first time between October 1, 1999 and September 30, 2004

> Children who have ever experienced discharge into reunification with parents before the end of the observational period

Children who have their last-reported placement setting at either relative or non- relative foster homes



- Propensity Score Matching (PSM)
 - Known to minimize selection biases, allowing for more accurate comparison of groups in their outcomes
 - Matches subjects on their conditional probability of group membership (a propensity score)
 - A propensity score: a single scalar variable that is calculated from observed covariates or conditioning variables



- Propensity Score Matching in the Study
 - Was used to control for different characteristics of relative and non-relative foster homes
 - Applied logistic regression model to predict the likelihood that a child would be placed in a relative foster home
 - -Created matched samples of kin & non-kin children



- Variables included in Logistic Regression Model
 - Child's age at discharge, gender, race, and disability
 - Reason for removal
 - Number of previous placement settings experienced
 - Length of time in care prior to discharge
 - Year of exit
 - County of service provision (largest vs. the others)
 - Primary caregiver's (parent's) age and marital status
 - Title IV-E eligibility
 - Primary foster caregiver's age and marital status
 - Match of child and primary foster caregiver's race



• Bivariate Analysis

- To investigate the outcome of foster care re-entry for children in relative foster homes in comparison with children in non-relative foster homes

• Survival Analysis

- To analyze the outcome of foster care re-entry for children in relative and non-relative foster homes, modeling time to re-entry



- Survival Analysis

Model I

• Type of Placement (Kin)

- State
- Interaction of Kin & State

Model II

- Monthly Foster Care Payment (\$)
- Interaction of Kin & \$

Model]	\prod
---------	---------

- Covariates
 Included in
 Logistic
 - Regression Model



Findings: PSM

• Sample Size by State

	Unmatched	Unmatched	Matched	Matched
	Kin	Non-Kin	Kin	Non-Kin
AZ	1,582	1,672	883	883
СТ	856	2,412	698	698
IL	2,655	2,724	1,080	1,080
MO	2,331	2,235	1,366	1,366
OH	6,478	10,726	4,050	4,050
TN	727	2,150	674	674

Children and Family Research Center

Findings: PSM

- Characteristics of Relative & Non-Relative Foster Homes
 - Before matching
 - Significant differences
 - No consistent trend among states
 - After matching
 - Complete matching: AZ, CT, IL, TN
 - Incomplete matching: MO, OH



Findings: Bivariate Analysis

• Rates of Foster Care Re-entry for Kin and Non-kin Children

	Unmatched	Unmatched	Matched	Matched
	Kin	Non-Kin	Kin	Non-Kin
AZ	19.09	28.41	21.74	24.69
СТ	15.77	22.14	16.62	17.34
IL	15.52	20.04	19.81	16.39
MO	14.41	19.51	16.25	18.67
OH	20.07	26.82	20.59	25.88
TN	15.82	22.79	16.47	22.11

p<0.001 **p**<0.05



Findings: Survival Analysis

Likelihood of Re-entering Out-of-Home Care







Implications

• Wide Variations Among States

- Different child welfare policies & practices regime of each state may have a greater impact on permanency outcomes of children in care

• Future study should examine such effects of child welfare policy and practice

- Advantages of kinship placements in the outcome of foster care re-entry cannot be generalized

Future study should be conducted at a national level or compare the findings among states
 Children and Family

Research

Implications

- Contradictory Findings between Analysis of Unmatched and Matched Samples
 - Limitations of standard regression model in investigating causal relationship
 - Future study should identify causal factors that account child welfare outcomes, which are independent from pre-existing group differences





Contact Information

Eun Koh eunkoh@uiuc.edu 217-265-0885

