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Background

• Primary purpose of child welfare is to respond to the needs of abused and neglected children

• Yet child welfare systems serve non maltreated youth/families
  • Long recognition two tier system, as the children get older the conditions leading to placement shift from “things happening to children” to “things children are doing” (Fanshel, 1992; Bernstein et al 1975))
  • NSCAW estimates 19% enter care in hopes of receiving MH (Barth et al 2006)

• Child welfare is vehicle for delivery of MH and SA services (Leslie et al 2005)
  • Yet questions remain about the efficiency and effectiveness of this approach (Hurlburt et al 2004; Raghaven et al 2010)

• Little is known about how well these youth are served in child welfare settings

• Concern that these youth account for the relatively high rates of delinquency, adult criminality and violence (Widom, 1991)
Research Questions

1. What proportion of adolescents in the child welfare system enter substitute care settings for reasons other than child abuse or neglect?

2. How well are these youth served?
   - Placement type (congregate care vs. family setting)
   - Placement stability
   - Contact with the juvenile justice system

3. What proportion of youth arrested in the child welfare were placed for reasons other than child abuse or neglect?
Data and Methods

State of Washington, Children’s Administration, AOC, King County juvenile justice

Youth associated with child protection 1/1/200 and 12/31/2009 (n=252,057)
  · Allegations of maltreatment (dates, type)
  · Finding (e.g. indicated)
  · Placement (reason, dates, type)
  · Demographics (name, dob, gender, race)

Juvenile and adult arrests through 6/30/2009 (n=2,387,484)
  · Offense (date, type)
  · Disposition (e.g. dismissed, probation)
  · Youth demographics (name, race, dob, gender)

State of Washington matched records (probabilistic)

Limited sample to at least 8 years old as of January 1, 2000 (5,528)
Reason for Placement 2000 - 2003 (n=5,528)
Reason for Placement 2000 - 2003 (n=5,528)
Reason for Placement 2000 - 2003 (n=5,528)

23% placed for child behavioral problems
**Risk of Arrest Subsequent to Child Welfare Placement (n=5,528)**

Hazard ratio, increase >1  
Multiply by 100 = rate change  
Males = arrest rate increases by 31%
Risk of Arrest Subsequent to Child Welfare Placement (n=5,528)

Hazard ratios largest for youth coming to child welfare with history of juvenile justice involvement
Hazard rate increases by 65% for youth placed for behavioral problems and maltreatment, and by 88% for youth placed only for behavioral problems.
Risk of Arrest Subsequent to Child Welfare Placement (n=5,528)
Reason for Placement and the Timing of Arrest (n= 5,528)

months between placement start date and arrest date
Reason for Placement and the Timing of Arrest (n= 5,528)

months between placement start date and arrest date
Reason for Placement and the Timing of Arrest (n= 5,528)

[Graph showing the proportion of arrests over months between placement start date and arrest date]

months between placement start date and arrest date
Reason for Placement and the Timing of Arrest (n= 5,528)

Relatively high for youth placed for behavioral problems

months between placement start date and arrest date
Figure 2: Offense Type by Reason for Placement (n=3,032)
Child abuse and neglect cases accounted for 52% of placements, yet accounts for approximately 28% of charges.

In contrast, youth placed for behavioral problems accounted for 23% of placements, yet account for approximately 33% of charges.
Summary of Findings and Implications

- 23% of youth (8 & over) entering substitute care settings in child welfare are placed for child behavioral problems – as opposed to child abuse & neglect

- Female adolescents are overrepresented in the population of youth placed in child welfare settings for child behavioral problems

- Youth placed for behavioral problems are significantly more likely to experience congregate case facilities, more likely to experience only congregate care, more likely to experience placement instability, and more likely to be associated with a subsequent arrest

- Youth placed only for reasons of maltreatment have relatively low rates of justice involvement

- Need to better understand the rationale for placing youth in child welfare settings to address behavioral problems

- If child welfare systems continue to serve this population (actually may increase), need to adopt practice approaches from the menu of evidence based options
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