<text><section-header><text><text>











Characteristic	%/Median
Victim Sex	95.9% Female
Victim Age	23
Victim Age 12 to 18 ¹	4.9%
Victim Race-Ethnicity	White 68.6% Hispanic 17.1% Black 9.1%
Victim-Assailant Relationship	Known assailant 68.2%

SOCIAL WORK		
Examination, Laborato	ry and Police Outcomes	
Result	%	
Non-genital injuries	53.0%	
Genital injuries	35.6%	
Kits tested by crime laboratory	77.6%	
Biological evidence	84.2% of kits tested	
DNA profile	28.3% of kits tested	
DNA match to suspect	8.6% of kits tested	
DNA match to CODIS-another case	2.0% of kits tested	
DNA match to CODIS-convicted offender	4.7% of kits tested	













SOCI	AL WORK			I
Cases	Logistic regression explain with identified suspects and victims c	ing conviction conviction conviction conviction conversion conve	on prosec	utors
	Variable	Odds Ratio	р	
	Victim below age of consent	33.29	.004	
	No victim credibility concerns	4.44	.09	
	Number of types of other evidence*	1.78	.07	
	DNA match to suspect	3.93	.04	
	 Count of following types of non-biological e physical evidence at crime scene, outcry wit 	vidence: surveillanc messes, fingerprints	e footage S	2,
	Note: Zero convictions had a DNA match to s	uspect but no other	evidence	9

SOCIAL WORK

Biological Evidence Helps Prosecution

Bolsters victim's credibility

ADA 4: if she says, "He bit my breast," and you've got a bite mark on a breast with saliva that matches the defendant, that's hugely corroborative. Right? It also functions in another way, which is less direct, but important to think about, is the more we can show the victim was accurate about it, the more likely the jury is to accept the biological and the injury evidence for what she says it is.

Contact info
Ted Cross <u>tpcross@illinois.edu</u> 781-640-4532
See our Center's webpage on sexual abuse and assault: <u>http://cfrc.illinois.edu/publications.php?dim=topic#SexualAbuse</u> <u>andAssault</u>