

# Racial Disproportionality in the Illinois Child Welfare System

FY2023 Report in Response to HB2914



CHILDREN AND FAMILY RESEARCH CENTER

1010 W. Nevada St.  
Urbana, IL 61801  
217-333-5837  
[www.cfr Illinois.edu](http://www.cfr Illinois.edu)



**School of Social Work**  
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

# Racial Disproportionality in the Illinois Child Welfare System

**FY2023 Report in Response to HB2914**

---

A REPORT BY THE

**CHILDREN AND FAMILY RESEARCH CENTER**



**School of Social Work**

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

Tamara Fuller, Ph.D.

Amanda C. Kelley, Ph.D.

Martin Nieto, M.A.

Stacey Shipe, Ph.D.

Satomi Wakita, Ph.D.

The Children and Family Research Center is an independent research organization created jointly in 1996 by the School of Social Work at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and the Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. The goal of the Center is to identify research needs, support research that is policy and practice relevant, and encourage and facilitate public child welfare research activities through collaborative relationships.

Funding for this work is provided by the Department of Children and Family Services. The views expressed herein should not be construed as representing the policy of the University of Illinois or the Department of Children and Family Services.

Any part of this report may be photocopied and distributed when appropriate credits are given. No part of this report, or the report in its entirety, may be sold for profit.

For questions about the content of the report contact:

Tamara Fuller at (217) 333-5837 or  
[t-fuller@illinois.edu](mailto:t-fuller@illinois.edu)

This report is available on our website: <http://www.cfr Illinois.edu>

## CHILDREN AND FAMILY RESEARCH CENTER



**School of Social Work**

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

© 2023 Children and Family Research Center, School of Social Work,  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

# Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 1: Introduction and Methods</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>Methods and Definitions</b> .....	<b>2</b>
Defining race.....	3
Defining subregion. ....	3
Defining and calculating racial disproportionality. ....	4
Interpreting racial disproportionality indices. ....	9
<b>Chapter 2: State Level Results</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>Investigation Indicators</b> .....	<b>11</b>
Investigations. ....	11
Indicated investigations. ....	17
Investigations screened by the court. ....	22
Protective custodies. ....	28
Safety plans. ....	28
Substitute care entries. ....	30
<b>Substitute Care Indicators</b> .....	<b>31</b>
Substitute care placement types. ....	31
Length of time in substitute care.....	34
Family permanence.....	38
<b>Chapter 3: Subregion Level Results</b> .....	<b>42</b>
Investigation Indicators.....	42
Substitute Care Placement Types .....	51
Length of Time in Substitute Care .....	56
Family Permanence .....	60
<b>Chapter 4: Summary and Recommendations</b> .....	<b>66</b>
<b>Appendix A: House Bill 2914</b> .....	<b>71</b>
<b>Appendix B: Child Abuse and Neglect Maltreatment Type Definitions</b> .....	<b>72</b>
<b>Appendix C: Placement Type Definitions</b> .....	<b>74</b>
<b>Appendix D: Child Welfare Indicator Definitions</b> .....	<b>76</b>
<b>Appendix E: Racial/Ethnic Disproportionality Data (State)</b> .....	<b>82</b>
<b>Appendix F: Children in the Illinois Child Welfare System</b> .....	<b>127</b>
<b>Appendix G: Racial/Ethnic Disproportionality Data (Subregion and Cook Region)</b> .....	<b>136</b>

## Chapter 1: Introduction and Methods

Illinois House Bill 2914 (HB2914) from the 102<sup>nd</sup> General Assembly, which was enacted as Public Act 102-0451 and became effective on January 1, 2022, requires the Illinois Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS, the Department) to submit an annual report regarding racial disparities for children and families involved in the Illinois child welfare system (see Appendix A for the full text of the Act). The report, which is due on December 31 of each year, should include data that are de-aggregated by race and compared to population-level data and should examine the following indicators:

1. children and families involved in a safety plan, the number of protective custodies, the number of investigations of each type of abuse and neglect allegation and the findings of such investigations, the number of court filings for each allegation type, the number of entries into the foster care system, placement settings, lengths of stay in foster care, and permanency outcomes;
2. educational success, health and behavioral health, housing, jobs or economic justice, criminal justice, and other key metrics of child and family well-being.

The Children and Family Research Center (CFRC) at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign was asked to produce this report based on its experience in reporting on racial disproportionalities in the Illinois child welfare system as part of the annual *B.H.* monitoring report. Although the two terms are similar, it is important to clarify the difference between racial disproportionality and racial disparity. *Racial disproportionality* refers to the over- or under-representation of a racial group compared to their percentage in a base population. The base population that is most often used when measuring disproportionality is the percentage of children in that racial group in the total child population. *Racial disparity* is examined by comparing the representation of one racial group with another racial group. Since HB2914 asks for data on child racial groups involved in the child welfare system compared to their population-level data, the current report therefore examines racial disproportionalities rather than racial disparities, and that is the term that will be used throughout the report. This second annual report includes data on racial disproportionalities in the Illinois child welfare system during FY2023 (July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023). In addition to reporting disproportionality findings at the state level (Chapter 2), at the request of DCFS, this year's report also includes the findings at the subregional level (Chapter 3).

### Methods and Definitions

The data used in the analyses come from three sources. Illinois child population data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau (see Appendix E and Appendix G for more details). Child welfare data come from two data systems maintained by DCFS: the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS), which contains information on children and families involved in child protection investigations, and the Child and Youth Centered Information System (CYCIS), which contains information on children placed in substitute care. Both the SACWIS and the CYCIS data were extracted on September 30, 2023.

**Defining race.** The race variable was created from three data fields in SACWIS: primary race, ethnicity, and secondary race flag. The ethnicity variable includes several codes designating Latinx origin, including South American, Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, Spanish Descent, Dominican, Central American, and Other. If the child’s ethnicity was coded as any of these, their race/ethnicity in this report was defined as “Latinx” regardless of the primary race code and the secondary race flag variable.


The secondary race flag variable was coded as yes or no to indicate whether the child had a secondary race. If the child’s ethnicity was not Latinx and the secondary race was coded as yes, then their race/ethnicity was defined as multiracial.

If the child’s ethnicity was not Latinx and the secondary race was coded as no, then their race was defined using the primary race variable contained in SACWIS. Values of the primary race variable include White, Black/African American, Native American/Alaska Native, Asian, and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander. If the value of primary race was “could not be verified,” “unknown,” “declined to identify,” or missing, it was coded as “Other.”

In total, seven racial/ethnic groups were defined: Latinx (any race), Black/African American, White, Asian, Native American/Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, and multiracial.

**Defining subregion.** The Department has divided the state into ten administrative subregions (see Figure 1.1): Rockford (1A); Peoria (1B); Aurora (2A); Springfield (3A); Champaign (3B); East St. Louis (4A); Marion (5A); Cook North (6B); Cook Central (2B, 6A, 6C, 6J); and Cook South (6D). Because the Illinois child population data used for this report cannot identify which Cook subregion children resided in, RDI could not be calculated for the Cook subregions. Instead, the RDI are provided for the Cook region, which combines the three subregions.

Figure 1.1 Illinois subregion map



**Defining and calculating racial disproportionality.** Racial and ethnic disproportionality refers to the over- or under-representation of a racial or ethnic group in the child welfare system compared to that group’s representation in a relevant base population. In this report, it is represented by a Racial Disproportionality Index (RDI), and there are two different base populations that are used to compute the RDI: Illinois child population and children in Illinois who stayed in substitute care.

**Investigation indicators.** For indicators related to children involved in investigations, indicated investigations, investigations screened by the court, protective custodies, safety plans, and entries into substitute care, the RDIs were calculated by dividing (1) the percentage of children in a racial or ethnic group involved in those parts of the child welfare system by (2) the percentage of that racial or ethnic group in the Illinois child population. For the denominator, data on race and ethnicity for the Illinois child population were obtained from the U.S. Census

Bureau. The 2022 Illinois child population data were used to calculate the RDI for FY2022 and FY2023. Table 1.1 shows the percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group in Illinois for the past five years. In FY2023, 50.13% of the Illinois child population was White, 24.98% were Latinx, 15.31% were Black, 5.7% were Asian, 3.7% were multiracial, 0.14% were Native American/Alaska Native, and 0.03% were Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

Table 1.1 Illinois child population (age 0-19): 2019 – 2023

	2019 (N=3,145,143)	2020 (N=3,177,225)	2021 (N=3,104,921)	2022 (N=3,039,860)	2023 (N=3,039,860)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	51.00	50.56	50.37	50.13	50.13
Black <sup>1</sup>	15.33	15.33	15.31	15.31	15.31
Latinx	24.53	24.84	24.90	24.98	24.98
Asian	5.47	5.55	5.62	5.70	5.70
Multiracial	3.50	3.55	3.63	3.70	3.70
Native American <sup>2</sup>	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14
Pacific Islander <sup>3</sup>	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03

Note: The 2022 Illinois child population data were used to calculate the RDI for FY2022 and FY2023.

<sup>1</sup> Black/African American

<sup>2</sup> Native American/Alaska Native

<sup>3</sup> Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander

Table 1.2 shows the percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group in each subregion and the Cook region for the past five years. In FY2023, the percentage of White children in each subregion ranged from 31.0% in the Cook region to 85.3% in the Marion subregion. The Cook region and East St. Louis subregion had the highest percentages of Black children (23.7% and 19.8%, respectively) and the Marion subregion had the smallest (5.8%). The largest percentages of Latinx children lived in the Cook region (35.0%), Aurora subregion (28.6%), and Rockford subregion (20.9%). The remaining subregions had smaller percentages of Latinx children, ranging from 4.2% in the Springfield and Marion subregions 12.8% in the Champaign subregion.

Table 1.2 Illinois child population by subregion and Cook region (age 0-19): 2019 – 2023

	2019 (N=914,467)	2020 (N=915,793)	2021 (N=896,938)	2022 (N=880,755)	2023 (N=880,755)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
<b>Aurora</b>					
White	54.06	53.52	52.97	52.26	52.26
Black <sup>1</sup>	7.44	7.48	7.57	7.69	7.69
Latinx	27.63	27.94	28.22	28.56	28.56
Asian	7.35	7.52	7.68	7.92	7.92
Multiracial	3.36	3.38	3.40	3.42	3.42



<b>Rockford</b>	(N=165,665)	(N=166,027)	(N=163,573)	(N=161,506)	(N=161,506)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	62.39	61.84	61.04	60.23	60.23
Black <sup>1</sup>	11.47	11.53	11.67	11.87	11.87
Latinx	19.51	19.95	20.46	20.94	20.94
Asian	1.81	1.80	1.83	1.83	1.83
Multiracial	4.63	4.70	4.83	4.95	4.95
<b>Champaign</b>	(N=205,454)	(N=203,167)	(N=200,755)	(N=198,278)	(N=198,278)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	71.26	70.96	70.32	69.78	69.78
Black <sup>1</sup>	12.12	12.19	12.49	12.73	12.73
Latinx	7.27	7.47	7.65	7.81	7.81
Asian	4.26	4.18	4.16	4.20	4.20
Multiracial	4.84	4.97	5.14	5.24	5.24
<b>Peoria</b>	(N=209,985)	(N=211,697)	(N=208,142)	(N=205,105)	(N=205,105)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	72.81	72.48	71.97	71.33	71.33
Black <sup>1</sup>	9.96	10.01	10.26	10.55	10.55
Latinx	10.49	10.64	10.74	10.98	10.98
Asian	2.29	2.29	2.33	2.32	2.32
Multiracial	4.21	4.32	4.44	4.55	4.55
<b>Springfield</b>	(N=131,012)	(N=131,203)	(N=128,939)	(N=127,182)	(N=127,182)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	82.12	81.79	81.25	80.77	80.77
Black <sup>1</sup>	8.51	8.60	8.82	8.97	8.97
Latinx	3.76	3.91	4.05	4.20	4.20
Asian	1.26	1.27	1.29	1.33	1.33
Multiracial	4.15	4.21	4.34	4.49	4.49
<b>East St. Louis</b>	(N=160,190)	(N=161,889)	(N=159,408)	(N=157,091)	(N=157,091)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	68.83	68.81	68.45	67.97	67.97
Black <sup>1</sup>	19.72	19.56	19.63	19.75	19.75
Latinx	5.69	5.83	5.97	6.15	6.15
Asian	1.03	1.04	1.06	1.05	1.05
Multiracial	4.48	4.51	4.65	4.84	4.84
<b>Marion</b>	(N=125,544)	(N=124,809)	(N=122,972)	(N=121,183)	(N=121,183)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	85.53	85.62	85.49	85.29	85.29
Black <sup>1</sup>	5.99	5.75	5.80	5.84	5.84
Latinx	3.92	4.00	4.10	4.17	4.17
Asian	0.92	0.90	0.87	0.86	0.86
Multiracial	3.43	3.50	3.53	3.63	3.63

Cook Region <sup>2</sup>	(N= 1,232,826)	(N= 1,262,640)	(N= 1,224,194)	(N= 1,188,760)	(N= 1,188,760)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	30.96	30.92	30.97	31.02	31.02
Black <sup>1</sup>	24.25	24.02	23.87	23.74	23.74
Latinx	34.94	35.09	35.04	35.00	35.00
Asian	6.80	6.86	6.93	7.00	7.00
Multiracial	2.92	2.97	3.05	3.11	3.11

Note: The 2022 Illinois child population data were used to calculate the RDI for FY2022 and FY2023.

<sup>1</sup>Black/African American

<sup>2</sup>The Cook region includes Cook North, Cook Central, and Cook South subregions.

**Substitute care indicators.** For indicators related to children in substitute care, including children in different placement types, length of stay in substitute care, and children who exited substitute care and achieved family permanence through reunification, adoption, and guardianship, the RDIs were calculated by dividing (1) the percentage of children in a racial or ethnic group involved in those parts of the child welfare system by (2) the percentage of children in that racial or ethnic group in substitute care during the fiscal year under consideration. Table 1.3 shows the percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group in substitute care for the past five years. In FY2023, 47.09% of the children in substitute care were White, 38.44% were Black, 11.36% were Latinx, 0.35% were Asian, 2.47% were multiracial, 0.11% were Native American/Alaska Native, and 0.02% were Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

Table 1.3 Children in Illinois substitute care (age 0-17): 2019 – 2023

	2019 (N=20,874)	2020 (N=22,956)	2021 (N=24,975)	2022 (N=24,531)	2023 (N=23,968)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	45.83	46.00	46.79	47.06	47.09
Black <sup>1</sup>	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
Latinx	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
Asian	0.24	0.28	0.33	0.36	0.35
Multiracial	2.35	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
Native American <sup>2</sup>	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.11
Pacific Islander <sup>3</sup>	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02

<sup>1</sup> Black/African American

<sup>2</sup> Native American/Alaska Native

<sup>3</sup> Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander

Table 1.4 shows the percentage of children of each racial/ethnic group in substitute care in each subregion and the Cook region for the past five years. In FY2023, the percentage of White children in each subregion/region varied considerably: the Marion subregion had the highest percentage (81.7%), followed by the Springfield (70.6%), Peoria (62.0%), East St. Louis (58.9%), Champaign (58.1%), Rockford (47.3%), and Aurora (37.2%) subregions, and the Cook region (10.6%). The Cook region had the highest percentage of Black children (67.1%) and the Marion

subregion had the lowest (12.9%). The Aurora subregion and the Cook region had relatively higher percentages of Latinx children (27.8% and 20.2%, respectively), and the other subregions ranged from 2 to 11%. Less than 1% of children in each subregion/region were Asian. The percentage of multiracial children in substitute care ranged from less than 1% in the Rockford subregion to 3.3% in the Springfield subregion.

Table 1.4 Children in Illinois substitute care by subregion/Cook region (age 0-17): 2019 – 2023

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Aurora</b>	(N=1,749)	(N=2,015)	(N=2,483)	(N=2,623)	(N=2,636)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	38.19	36.77	38.70	37.06	37.22
Black <sup>1</sup>	38.25	37.62	31.09	31.91	31.41
Latinx	20.13	22.04	26.78	27.75	27.81
Asian	0.74	0.74	0.56	0.50	0.46
Multiracial	2.57	2.78	2.78	2.71	2.84
<b>Rockford</b>	(N=1,739)	(N=1,827)	(N=2,013)	(N=1,863)	(N=1,688)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	45.43	45.16	47.14	47.50	47.28
Black <sup>1</sup>	42.32	42.58	39.79	37.57	37.62
Latinx	7.82	8.05	8.25	10.36	10.90
Asian	0.06	0.06	0.20	0.43	0.36
Multiracial	0.06	0.06	0.20	0.43	0.36
<b>Champaign</b>	(N=2,364)	(N=2,585)	(N=2,835)	(N=2,765)	(N=2,788)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	56.94	56.71	56.68	57.83	58.14
Black <sup>1</sup>	34.69	34.89	35.70	34.29	33.79
Latinx	4.32	4.26	3.84	3.94	4.34
Asian	0.25	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.11
Multiracial	3.43	3.37	3.14	3.29	3.23
<b>Peoria</b>	(N=2,540)	(N=2,902)	(N=3,259)	(N=3,252)	(N=3,150)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	58.39	59.34	59.71	62.05	62.06
Black <sup>1</sup>	34.72	32.74	31.14	28.20	27.56
Latinx	3.78	4.58	5.55	6.27	6.60
Asian	0.08	0.21	0.24	0.46	0.41
Multiracial	2.72	2.76	2.79	2.55	2.95
<b>Springfield</b>	(N=2,002)	(N=2,287)	(N=2,458)	(N=2,307)	(N=2,351)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	70.48	69.52	70.75	71.87	70.61
Black <sup>1</sup>	23.23	23.66	22.50	22.11	23.31
Latinx	2.65	2.89	2.85	2.64	2.64
Asian	0.35	0.26	0.28	0.09	0.04
Multiracial	3.20	3.58	3.54	3.25	3.32

<b>East St. Louis</b>	(N=1,891)	(N=2,042)	(N=2,171)	(N=2,190)	(N=2,260)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	62.72	61.80	62.60	61.32	58.85
Black <sup>1</sup>	31.99	32.32	31.83	32.78	35.09
Latinx	2.54	3.18	2.95	3.15	3.23
Asian	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.00	0.00
Multiracial	2.27	2.30	2.12	2.47	2.43
<b>Marion</b>	(N=2,416)	(N=2,664)	(N=2,912)	(N=2,862)	(N=2,779)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	83.07	83.78	82.07	81.69	81.72
Black <sup>1</sup>	11.96	11.49	12.02	13.24	12.95
Latinx	2.86	2.89	3.43	2.69	2.27
Asian	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.00
Multiracial	1.86	1.58	2.23	2.24	2.81
<b>Cook Region<sup>2</sup></b>	(N=6,173)	(N=6,634)	(N=6,844)	(N=6,669)	(N=6,316)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
White	10.95	10.90	10.73	10.96	10.62
Black <sup>1</sup>	69.72	68.38	66.91	66.77	67.13
Latinx	17.48	18.83	20.37	20.30	20.23
Asian	0.32	0.44	0.66	0.68	0.78
Multiracial	1.35	1.31	1.23	1.17	1.11

<sup>1</sup>Black/African American

<sup>2</sup>The Cook region includes Cook North, Cook Central, and Cook South subregions.

The second percentage needed to calculate an RDI (the numerator) is the percentage of children in a racial or ethnic group that is involved in each part of the Illinois child welfare system. To calculate these percentages, it was necessary for the CFRC to define each of the child welfare indicators specified in HB2914 using the data available in SACWIS and CYCIS. Detailed definitions of the child abuse and neglect allegation types, substitute care placement types, and child welfare indicators are provided in Appendices B, C, and D, respectively.

Every attempt was made to calculate an RDI for each indicator that is outlined in HB2914. However, in order to calculate an RDI, data on the indicator must be available for all children in the Illinois child welfare system. Systematic data are not currently collected on any of the child and family well-being indicators that are listed in HB2914, including educational success, health and behavioral health, housing, jobs and economic justice, and criminal justice. Therefore, RDI for these indicators could not be included in the current report.

**Interpreting racial disproportionality indices.** RDI values greater than 1.0 indicate over-representation. For example, an RDI of 2.0 means that children in that racial group are represented at twice the rate as they are in the comparison child population (the general child population or the substitute care population). RDI values less than 1.0 indicate under-representation. For example, an RDI of 0.5 means that children are represented half as much as they are in the comparison child population. RDI values equal or close to 1.0 indicate no

disproportionality; children in that group are represented at rates that are proportionate to their representation in the population.

Interpretation of an RDI value depends on the comparison population that is used to compute the RDI. The comparison population for all the investigation indicators (i.e., investigations, indicated investigations, investigations screened by the court, protective custodies, and substitute care entries) is the percentage of children in the racial group in the Illinois child population. Thus, an RDI of 2.00 for these indicators means that the percentage of children in that racial group is twice as large as their percentage in the Illinois child population. For these indicators we define over-representation as an RDI of 1.2 or larger and under-representation as an RDI of 0.80 or smaller.

The comparison population used to compute the substitute care indicators (placement types, length of time in substitute care, and exits to permanence) is the percentage of children in the racial group who are in substitute care during the fiscal year. Previous research on disproportionality in the Illinois child welfare system has shown that Black children are over-represented among children living in substitute care. Thus, an RDI greater than 1.00 for Black children on the substitute care indicators means that they are over-represented compared to a population in which they are already over-represented. These indicators must therefore be interpreted differently than indicators that use the general child population as the comparison. For the substitute care indicators, we define over-representation as an RDI of 1.05 or larger and under-representation as an RDI of 0.95 or smaller.

The differences in RDI between racial/ethnic groups are displayed in figures throughout the report. Since an RDI of 1.0 indicates no disproportionality, 1.0 is set as the baseline on the figures. For both over- and under-representation, the length of the bar in the chart corresponds to the amount of disproportionality.

## Chapter 2: State Level Results

RDIs were calculated for each of the seven racial/ethnic groups for each child welfare indicator over the past five years (FY2019 – FY2023).<sup>1</sup> The results for FY2023 are displayed in figures throughout this chapter and results for FY2019 – FY2023 are available in tables in Appendix E. If the number of children in a racial/ethnic group for an indicator was 20 or fewer, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI were not included in the figure and corresponding appendix table because percentages based on small numbers are unreliable. In addition, if the base population for calculating an RDI was less than 0.1%, the RDI for that group is not included in the figure and corresponding appendix table. In general, RDIs for Native American and Pacific Islander children should be interpreted with caution given the very small percentages of these children in the Illinois child population.

### Investigation Indicators

**Investigations.** The first set of indicators examine children in investigated reports. At this stage, DCFS staff known as Call Floor Workers (CFW) at the State Central Register (SCR) screen each call that is received from a maltreatment reporter to determine if the circumstances meet the criteria for an investigation. If so, the call is screened in and becomes an investigated report; if not, the call is screened out and no further child welfare actions are taken. Appendix Table F.1 shows the number of children in investigations over the last five fiscal years; the number has increased from 108,705 in FY2020 to 122,371 in FY2023.

When a call is screened in, the CFW must assign one or more specific child abuse or neglect allegations to the report when it is transmitted to the local DCFS field office. In addition, investigators can assign additional allegations during an investigation. Several of the indicators listed in HB2914 ask for information by each type of abuse and neglect allegation. However, there are over 50 different allegations that are defined in DCFS Procedures 300, Appendix B,<sup>2</sup> many of which are infrequently used. Thus, in the current report the individual allegations were grouped into eight different maltreatment types: risk of harm, neglect, environmental neglect, lack of supervision/lock out, physical abuse, sexual abuse/human trafficking, emotional abuse, and substance exposure (see Appendix B for a list of the specific allegations that are included in each maltreatment type and Appendix D for the technical definition of each of the indicators included in the report). The most frequently investigated type of maltreatment in FY2023 was risk of harm; 46.6% of investigations involved allegations of risk of harm. Lack of supervision/lock out was the next most frequently investigated type of maltreatment (15.3%), followed by environmental neglect (11.0%), sexual abuse/human trafficking (10.2%), physical abuse (7.9%), neglect (4.8%), substance exposure (2.6%), and emotional abuse (1.5%).<sup>3</sup>

---


<sup>1</sup> The exceptions are the indicators related to investigation screened by the court (see Appendix Tables E.19 through E.27). For these indicators, only FY2022 and FY2023 data were available.

<sup>2</sup> [https://www2.illinois.gov/dcf/aboutus/notices/Documents/Procedures\\_300\\_Appendix\\_B.pdf](https://www2.illinois.gov/dcf/aboutus/notices/Documents/Procedures_300_Appendix_B.pdf)

<sup>3</sup> See Appendix Table F.2 for the frequencies of each maltreatment type in investigations for the past five fiscal years.

Figure 2.1 shows the RDI for children in each racial/ethnic group who were included in an investigated report (all maltreatment types) in FY2023, and Appendix Table E.1 shows the RDI for this indicator for each racial/ethnic group over the past five fiscal years. The RDI for Black children in investigations in FY2023 was 2.15, meaning that Black children were represented among investigated reports at over twice the rate that they are represented in the Illinois child population. All other racial and ethnic groups were under-represented among investigated reports in FY2023.

Figure 2.1. Children in investigations (all maltreatment types) (FY2023)



The next set of figures (Figures 2.2 – 2.9) show the RDIs for children in investigations in FY2023 that included allegations of risk of harm, neglect, environmental neglect, lack of supervision/lock out, physical abuse, sexual abuse/human trafficking, emotional abuse, and substance exposure (see also Appendix Tables E.2 – E.9). Number and percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group that were investigated by maltreatment type in the past five fiscal years is shown in Appendix Table F.2. Please note that a child could have multiple different maltreatment types in one investigated report (see Appendix D for technical definitions of these indicators). A similar pattern is seen across investigations involving all maltreatment types: Black children were over-represented compared to their representation in the Illinois child population, with RDIs ranging from 1.47 for emotional abuse investigations to 2.66 for lack of supervision/lock out investigations. White children were proportionately represented for investigations of most maltreatment types, with the exception of lack of supervision/lock out investigations where they were under-represented (RDI = 0.77). Latinx children were proportionately represented for investigations involving sexual abuse/human trafficking and physical abuse, and under-represented for investigations of all other maltreatment allegation

types. Multiracial, Asian, and Native American children were under-represented among investigations of all allegation types.

Figure 2.2 Children in risk of harm investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.3 Children in neglect investigations (FY2023)






Figure 2.4 Children in environmental neglect investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.5 Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.6 Children in physical abuse investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.7 Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.8 Children in emotional abuse investigations (FY2023)






Figure 2.9 Children in substance exposure investigations (FY2023)



**Indicated investigations.** Once an investigation is screened in, the child protection specialist must perform a variety of actions to collect information to make a determination whether the alleged abuse or neglect occurred. The required actions are outlined in DCFS Procedures 300<sup>4</sup> and include performing background checks on all adults in the household; interviewing the alleged victims, perpetrator, and all adults in the household; observing the home environment; and performing a structured safety assessment. At the conclusion of the investigation, the child protection specialist determines whether each abuse and neglect allegation is indicated or unfounded. Allegations are indicated when there is credible evidence that they occurred. In FY2023, 22.4% of family investigations were indicated.<sup>5</sup> Appendix Table F.4 shows the number and percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group that were involved in indicated investigations in the past five fiscal years.

Figure 2.10 shows the RDI for children in each racial/ethnic group who were included in an indicated investigation (all maltreatment types) in FY2023, and Appendix Table E.10 shows the RDI for this indicator for each racial/ethnic group over the past five fiscal years. The RDI for Black children in FY2023 was 2.13, which means that Black children were represented among indicated investigations at over twice the rate that they are represented in the Illinois child population. White children were proportionately represented and all other racial and ethnic groups were under-represented among indicated investigations in FY2023.

Figure 2.10 Children in indicated investigations (all maltreatment types) (FY2023)



<sup>4</sup> [https://www2.illinois.gov/dcf/aboutus/notices/Documents/procedures\\_300.pdf](https://www2.illinois.gov/dcf/aboutus/notices/Documents/procedures_300.pdf)

<sup>5</sup> Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. (2022). *Six-year statistics on child protective services (Data as of October 31, 2023)*. Springfield, IL, Author. Available online: <https://dcfs.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/dcf/documents/about-us/reports-and-statistics/documents/ess-protective-services.pdf>

The next set of figures (Figures 2.11 – 2.18) show the RDIs for children in investigations in FY2023 that included indicated allegations of risk of harm, neglect, environmental neglect, lack of supervision/lock out, physical abuse, sexual abuse/human trafficking, emotional abuse, and substance exposure (see also Appendix Tables E.11 – E.18). Please note that a child could have multiple indicated maltreatment types in one investigation. With the exception of indicated emotional abuse (RDI = 1.06), Black children were over-represented among children in indicated investigations compared to their representation in the Illinois child population, with RDI ranging from 1.43 for indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations to 2.84 for indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations. White children were slightly over-represented among indicated environmental neglect (RDI = 1.22), emotional abuse (RDI = 1.21) and substance exposure (RDI = 1.20) investigations; proportionately represented for indicated risk of harm (RDI = 0.89) and sexual abuse (RDI = 0.92) investigations; and slightly under-represented for indicated neglect (RDI = 0.79), lack of supervision/lock out (RDI = 0.74), and physical abuse (RDI = 0.78) investigations. Latinx children were under-represented for indicated allegations of all maltreatment types except for indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking allegations, where they were proportionately represented (RDI = 1.13). Multiracial children and Asian children were under-represented for each type of indicated maltreatment allegation.

Figure 2.11 Children in indicated risk of harm investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.12 Children in indicated neglect investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.13 Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.14 Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.15 Children in indicated physical abuse investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.16 Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (FY2023)




Figure 2.17 Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (FY2023)








Figure 2.18 Children in indicated substance exposure investigations (FY2023)



**Investigations screened by the court.** There are several points at which an investigation may be screened with the State's Attorney Office for filing in juvenile court. When protective custody is taken, the investigator may meet in person with the Assistant State's Attorney (ASA) or may provide written documentation to the ASA that provides information about the case, such as interviews with witnesses, photographs, or other documentation on which the ASA can base a determination to file a juvenile court petition or not. The ASA may have additional questions about the information contained in the investigation or request additional investigation occur prior to deciding to file the petition.

Figure 2.19 shows the RDI for children in each racial/ethnic group who were involved in an investigation that was screened by the court (all maltreatment types) in FY2023, and Appendix Table E.19 shows the RDI for this indicator for each racial/ethnic group over the past five fiscal years. The RDI for Black children was 1.92, which means that Black children were represented among investigations screened by the court at almost twice the rate that they are represented in the Illinois child population. White children were proportionately represented (RDI = 1.13) and Multiracial, Latinx, and Asian children were under-represented compared to their representation in the Illinois child population.

Figure 2.19 Children in investigations screened by court (all maltreatment types) (FY2023)



The next set of figures (Figures 2.20 – 2.27) show the RDIs for children in investigations screened by the courts in FY2023 that included allegations of risk of harm, neglect, environmental neglect, lack of supervision/lock out, physical abuse, sexual abuse/human trafficking, emotional abuse, and substance exposure (see also Appendix Tables E.20 – E.27). Please note that a child could have multiple allegations in one investigation and could therefore be included in more than one of the following indicators. For six of eight maltreatment types, Black children were over-represented compared to their representation in the Illinois child population, with RDI ranging from 1.47 for substance exposure to 2.22 for physical abuse.<sup>6</sup> The RDI for Black children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by the court was 1.06 in FY2023, which means that they were proportionately represented compared to their percentage in the Illinois child population. White children were proportionately represented in the investigations screened by the court for risk of harm, neglect, lack of supervision/lock out, and physical abuse and were over-represented for environmental neglect (RDI = 1.22), sexual abuse/human trafficking (RDI = 1.40), emotional abuse (RDI = 1.34), and substance exposure (RDI = 1.30) investigations screened by the courts. Latinx, Multiracial, and Asian children were under-represented for all allegation types screened by the court in FY2023.

<sup>6</sup> The RDI for Black children in emotional abuse investigations screened by the courts could not be calculated because the number of children was 20 or fewer.

Figure 2.20 Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court (FY2023)




Figure 2.21 Children in neglect investigations screened by court (FY2023)




Figure 2.22 Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court (FY2023)




Figure 2.23 Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court (FY2023)




Figure 2.24 Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court (FY2023)




Figure 2.25 Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court (FY2023)




Figure 2.26 Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court (FY2023)






Figure 2.27 Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court (FY2023)



**Protective custodies.** During an investigation, a child protective services (CPS) worker can take protective custody of a child if he or she believes that the child is unsafe in the home or with the caregiver; the child is taken into care for up to 48 hours (excluding weekends) until a shelter hearing is convened. Appendix Table F.7 shows the number and percentage of children in each racial group that were taken into protective custody over the last five fiscal years.

Figure 2.28 shows the RDI for children in each racial/ethnic group taken into protective custodies in FY2023, and Appendix Table E.28 shows the RDI for this indicator for each racial/ethnic group over the past five fiscal years (see Appendix Table F.8 for the number and percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group that were taken into protective custodies in the past five fiscal years). The RDI for Black children taken into protective custody was 2.25, which means that Black children were represented among protective custodies at over twice the rate that they are represented in the Illinois child population. White children were proportionately represented in protective custodies compared to their representation in the Illinois population and Multiracial, Latinx, and Asian children were under-represented.

Figure 2.28 Children in protective custodies (FY2023)



**Safety plans.** During an investigation, the child protection specialist must assess the safety of all children in the household using a structured safety assessment protocol known as the Child Endangerment Risk Assessment Protocol (CERAP). Current practice for use of the CERAP is described in DCFS Procedures 300 Appendix G.<sup>7</sup> The first step in completing a CERAP is the safety threat assessment. Safety threats are behaviors or conditions that may be associated


<sup>7</sup> [https://www2.illinois.gov/dcf/aboutus/notices/Documents/Procedures\\_300\\_Appendix\\_C\\_to\\_L.pdf](https://www2.illinois.gov/dcf/aboutus/notices/Documents/Procedures_300_Appendix_C_to_L.pdf)

with a child or children being in danger of moderate to severe harm immediately or in the near future. When one or more safety threats have been identified, the worker describes any family strengths or actions that may mitigate the threat to child safety.

Based on an analysis of the safety threats, family strengths, and mitigating circumstances, the worker makes a safety decision of either safe or unsafe. If no safety threats are identified or if one or more safety threats are identified and all are adequately controlled by family strengths or actions, all involved children are assessed as safe. If one or more safety threats have been identified and are not controlled by family strengths or actions, the children are assessed as unsafe. If one or more children are assessed as unsafe, a safety plan must be developed and implemented or protective custody must be taken to avoid immediate danger to a child. Detailed instructions on the development of safety plans are located in DCFS Procedures 300 Appendix G, pages 16 – 22.<sup>8</sup>

Figure 2.29 shows the RDI for children in each racial/ethnic group involved in safety plans in FY2023, and Appendix Table E.29 shows the RDI for this indicator for each racial/ethnic group over the past five fiscal years. The RDI for Black children involved in safety plans in FY2023 was 2.14, which means that Black children were included in safety plans at over twice the rate that they are represented in the Illinois child population. White children were proportionately represented in safety plans in FY2023 compared to their representation in the Illinois population and Multiracial, Latinx, and Asian children were under-represented.

Figure 2.29 Children involved in safety plans (FY2023)




<sup>8</sup> <https://dcfs.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/dcfs/documents/about-us/policy-rules-and-forms/documents/procedures/procedures-300-appendix-c-to-l.pdf>



**Substitute care entries.** At the conclusion of the investigation, if the child welfare worker concludes that "there are safety threats that cannot be controlled or mitigated through the service provision,"<sup>9</sup> the child may be removed from their home and placed into substitute care. Appendix Table F.8 shows the number and percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group that were placed in substitute care over the last five fiscal years.

Figure 2.30 shows the RDI for children in each racial/ethnic group that entered substitute care in FY2023, and Appendix Table E.30 shows the RDI for this indicator for each racial/ethnic group over the past five fiscal years (see Appendix Table F.9 for the number and percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group that entered substitute care in the past five fiscal years). The RDI for Black children entering care in FY2023 was 2.23, which means that Black children entered substitute care at over twice the rate that they are represented in the Illinois child population. White children were proportionately represented among children entering care in FY2023 compared to their representation in the Illinois population and Multiracial, Latinx, and Asian children were under-represented.

Figure 2.30 Children who entered substitute care (FY2023)



<sup>9</sup> Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. (October, 2015). Procedures 300 Section 130 Reports of Child Abuse and Neglect. Springfield: Author. Retrieved from [https://www2.illinois.gov/dcf/aboutus/notices/Documents/procedures\\_300.pdf](https://www2.illinois.gov/dcf/aboutus/notices/Documents/procedures_300.pdf)

## Substitute Care Indicators

**Substitute care placement types.** The Department defines placement services to include “foster family or relative home care, care provided in a group home or child care institution or other institution. Placement is intended to be a temporary situation for the children during the time that the parents' ability to care for the child is being evaluated or the parents are receiving services to alleviate the problems in the home so the family can be reunited.”<sup>10</sup> When it is in the best interest of a child to be placed in substitute care, it is both federal and state policy “to place a child in the least restrictive and most family-like setting that will meet the needs of the child.”<sup>11</sup> In 1996, Congress required states to include in their Title IV-E state plans a provision that indicated the state shall consider giving preference to an adult relative over a non-related caregiver when determining a placement for a child, provided that the relative caregiver meets all relevant child protection standards. In Illinois, Department policy states that “placement in a family home is the least restrictive and thus the preferable placement choice for a child when a family will be able to meet the needs of the child. However, if a child needs treatment which can best be provided in a group home or child care institution, the child need not be placed in a foster family home prior to placement in a treatment setting” (p. 39).<sup>12</sup>

The next section examines the placement types of children during the fiscal year. There are four placement types included in the analyses: kinship foster care, traditional foster care, specialized foster care, and institution (please see Appendix C for the technical definitions of these four placement types). *Kinship foster care* involves placement of children with relatives in the relatives' homes. Relatives are the preferred placement for children who must be removed from their parents, as this kind of placement maintains the children's connections with their families. In Illinois, kinship care providers may be licensed or unlicensed. *Traditional foster care* involves placement of children with non-relative foster parents in the non-relative's home. Traditional foster parents have been trained, assessed, and licensed to provide shelter and care. *Specialized foster care* involves placement of children with foster parents who have been specially trained to care for children with certain medical or behavioral needs. *Congregate care* refers to placement in a group homes or institution.

The next set of figures show the RDIs for children placed in kinship foster care (Figure 2.31), traditional foster care (Figure 2.32), specialized foster care (Figure 2.33) and congregate care (Figure 2.34) placements in FY2023 (see Appendix Tables E.31 – E.34). Please note that children could have more than one placement type during the fiscal year and were included in the counts for each placement type they experienced. *Also note that the comparison population for these RDI was children in substitute care during the fiscal year rather than children in Illinois*

---

<sup>10</sup> Section 301.30 Introduction. Part 301 Placement and Visitation Services. (1999). Social Services, Title 89. Illinois Administrative Code. Retrieved from


<https://www.ilga.gov/commission/jcar/admincode/089/089003010A00300R.html>

<sup>11</sup> Adoption Assistance and Child Welfare Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96-272.

<sup>12</sup> Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. (October, 2016). *Procedures 301 Placement and Visitation Services*. Springfield, IL: Author.

*child population*.<sup>13</sup> The results show that in FY2023, Black children were proportionately represented in traditional foster home placements compared to their percentage in the substitute care population (RDI = 1.01), under-represented in kinship care placements (RDI = 0.94), and over-represented in specialized foster care (RDI = 1.30) and congregate care (RDI = 1.25) placements. Conversely, White children were proportionately represented in traditional foster home placements compared to their percentage in the total substitute care population, over-represented in kinship care placements (RDI = 1.05), and under-represented in specialized foster care (RDI = 0.75) and congregate care (RDI = 0.87) placements. Latinx children were proportionately represented in kinship, traditional, and specialized foster home placements compared to their percentage in the total substitute care population, but under-represented in congregate care (RDI = 0.73) placements in FY2023. It should be noted that Asian children were over-represented in traditional foster home placements (RDI = 1.35). However, the number of children in this category was small ( $n = 28$ ) and the base population is small (0.35%); therefore, this result should be interpreted with caution.

Figure 2.31 Children in kinship foster care placements (FY2023)



<sup>13</sup> For the substitute care indicators, over-representation is defined as an RDI of 1.05 or larger and under-representation is defined as an RDI of 0.95 or smaller.

Figure 2.32 Children in traditional foster care placements (FY2023)




Figure 2.33 Children in specialized foster care placements (FY2023)





Figure 2.34 Children in congregate care placements (FY2023)



**Length of time in substitute care.** Children should not languish in foster care. The state may need to take custody of children to keep them safe, but they should not be raised in a substitute care setting for long periods of time. Once a child is placed in substitute care, the goal is to move them out of care as quickly as it is safe and reasonable to do so. To examine the length of time that children spend in substitute care, the current report examines the percentage of children who remain in care for less than 12 months (Figure 2.35), 12 – 23 months (Figure 2.36), 24 – 35 months (Figure 2.37), 36 – 47 months (Figure 2.38), 48 – 59 months (Figure 2.39), and 60 or more months (Figure 2.40) before achieving legal permanence through reunification with their family, adoption, or guardianship/living with relatives. See Appendix Tables E.35 – E.40 for RDI over the past five fiscal years and Appendix Table F.11 for the number and percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group by length of stay in care in the past five fiscal years.

The results in these figures show that Black children were proportionately represented among those who remained in care less than 12 months, under-represented among those who remained in care 12 – 23 months, 24 – 35 months, and 36 – 47 months, and 48 – 59 months, and were over-represented among children who remained in care 60 or more months before exiting (RDI = 1.34). Conversely, White children were over-represented among those who remained in care 12 – 23 months, 24 – 35 months, and 36 – 47 months, and 48 – 59 months, and were under-represented among children who remained in care 60 or more months before exiting (RDI = 0.78). In other words, Black children are over-represented among the children

who remain in care longer than 5 years before achieving permanent families and White children are over-represented among children who exit substitute care within 1 – 4 years.

Figure 2.35 Children in substitute care less than 12 months before exiting (FY2023)




Figure 2.36 Children in substitute care 12 – 23 months before exiting (FY2023)




Figure 2.37 Children in substitute care 24 – 35 months before exiting (FY2023)




Figure 2.38 Children in substitute care 36 – 47 months before exiting (FY2023)




Figure 2.39 Children in substitute care 48 – 59 months before exiting (FY2023)





Figure 2.40 Children in substitute care 60 or more months before exiting (FY2023)






**Family permanence.** All children deserve permanent homes. Although abuse and neglect sometimes make it necessary to place children temporarily in substitute care, federal and state child welfare policies mandate that permanency planning should begin at the time of placement and that children should be placed in safe, nurturing, permanent homes within a reasonable timeframe. In Illinois, there are three processes through which children can exit substitute care and attain a permanent home: reunification with parents, adoption, and guardianship. *Reunification* with parents is the preferred method for achieving permanence for children in substitute care, and it is the most common way that children exit care. Reunification is possible if parents are able to rectify the issues that endangered their children, often with the help of child welfare and other services. In some cases, parents are not able to provide a safe, nurturing home for their children, even with the aid of services. In these instances, child welfare professionals must find alternative placements for children as quickly as possible. A second permanency option is *adoption*, in which kin or non-kin adoptive parents legally commit to care for children. Adoptive parents have identical rights and responsibilities as biological parents; they may also receive financial support from the state. *Guardianship* is a third permanency option in which caregivers, almost always kin, assume legal custody and permanent care of children and receive financial assistance from the state. This form of permanence allows caregivers to provide a permanent home for children while not requiring them to terminate the parental rights of the biological parent, who is typically a close relative of the guardian. Guardianship is less common than reunification and adoption. Appendix Table F.10 shows the number and percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group that achieved legal family permanence during the last five fiscal years.

Figure 2.41 shows the RDI for children in each racial/ethnic group who exited substitute care during FY2023 and achieved a legally permanent family through reunification, adoption, guardianship or living with relatives. Appendix Table E.41 shows the RDI for this indicator for each racial/ethnic group over the past five fiscal years and Appendix Table F.12 shows the number and percentage of children in each racial/ethnic group that exited substitute care and achieved a legal permanence through reunification, adoption, guardianship in the past five fiscal years. The RDI for Black and Latinx children achieving permanency in FY2023 were 0.89 and 0.90 respectively, which means that they were under-represented among children who achieved permanence when compared to their percentage in substitute care in FY2023. White and Asian children were over-represented among children exiting to permanence in FY2023, and multiracial children were proportionately represented.

Figure 2.41 Children who achieved permanence (FY2023)



The next set of figures examines the RDI for children in each racial/ethnic group who exited substitute care in FY2023 through reunification (Figure 2.42), adoption (Figure 2.43), and guardianship (Figure 2.44) (see Appendix Tables E.42 – E.44 for RDI over the past five fiscal years). Black children were under-represented among the children who achieved permanence through reunification (RDI = 0.93) and adoption (RDI = 0.76) in FY2023 but and over-represented among those who achieved permanence through guardianship (RDI = 1.13). White children were over-represented among the children who achieved permanence through adoption (RDI = 1.27) in FY2023, proportionately represented among those who achieved permanence through reunification (RDI = 1.04), and under-represented among those who achieved guardianship (RDI = 0.94). Latinx children were over-represented among those who achieved permanence through reunification (RDI = 1.07) and under-represented among children who achieved permanence through adoption (RDI = 0.66) and guardianship (RDI = 0.83). Asian children were over-represented among children who achieved permanence through reunification (RDI = 2.00). However, the number of Asian children ( $n = 21$ ) in substitute care in FY2023 was small and the base population was also proportionately small (0.35%), which means that the RDI should be interpreted with caution.

Figure 2.42 Children who achieved permanence through reunification (FY2023)




Figure 2.43 Children who achieved permanence through adoption (FY2023)





Figure 2.44 Children who achieved permanence through guardianship (FY2023)



## Chapter 3: Subregion Level Results

This chapter provides the RDIs for Black, White, and Latinx children in the Aurora, Rockford, Champaign, Peoria, Springfield, East St. Louis, and Marion subregions and the Cook region for FY2023. The RDI for these three racial/ethnic groups plus Asian and multiracial children are also provided in Appendix G. As with the analyses at the state level, if the number of children in a racial/ethnic group for an indicator was 20 or fewer, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI were not included in the figure and corresponding appendix table because percentages based on small numbers are unreliable. In addition, if the base population for calculating an RDI was less than 0.1%, the RDI for that group is not included in the figure and corresponding appendix table. Therefore, the RDI for Native American and Pacific Islander children are excluded from the subregional analyses because the numbers of children were too small. The subregion results for FY2019 – FY2022 are not included in this report but they are available in the CFRC website.<sup>14</sup>

The subregional analyses in this chapter are presented in four sections. The first section includes the investigation indicators: investigations, indicated investigations, investigations screened by the courts, protective custodies, children with safety plans, and substitute care entries. Due to small numbers, the RDI for the investigation indicators by maltreatment type are not included in the figures but are included in Appendix G when possible.<sup>15</sup> The second section presents the RDI for children in substitute care placed in kinship foster homes, traditional foster homes, specialized foster homes, and congregate care. The third section presents the RDI for children's length of stay in substitute care: less than 12 months, 12-23 months, 24-35 months, 36-47 months, 48-59 months, and 60 or more months. Finally, the fourth section examines the RDI for children who achieve permanence through reunification, adoption, guardianship, and living with relatives (combined), as well as children who achieve permanence through reunification, adoption, and guardianship separately (please refer to Appendix D for definitions of the indicators used in this report).

### Investigation Indicators

Figures 3.1 – 3.8 show the RDI for each subregion and the Cook region for the investigation indicators (see Appendix Tables G.1, G.10, G.19, G.28, G.29, and G.30). Black children were over-represented for all investigation indicators in all seven subregions and the Cook region, although the amount of over-representation varied.<sup>16</sup> In general, the RDI for Black children for the investigation indicators were largest in the Aurora subregion and smallest in the East St. Louis subregion. For example, the RDI for protective custodies for Black children in FY2023 was 4.09 in the Aurora subregion and 1.71 in the East St. Louis subregion. White children were proportionately represented or under-represented on the investigation indicators across all

---

<sup>14</sup> [https://go.illinois.edu/subregion\\_details](https://go.illinois.edu/subregion_details)

<sup>15</sup> See Appendix Tables G.2-G.9, G.11-G.18, and G.20-G.27.

<sup>16</sup> For the investigation indicators, over-representation is defined as an RDI of 1.20 or larger and under-representation is defined as an RDI of 0.80 or smaller.

subregions and the Cook region. Latinx children were under-represented on most investigation indicators in the each subregion and the Cook region, except for the Aurora and Springfield subregions, where they were proportionately represented on each indicator.

Figure 3.1 Investigation indicators: Aurora subregion (FY2023)




Figure 3.2 Investigation indicators: Rockford subregion (FY2023)




Figure 3.3 Investigation indicators: Champaign subregion (FY2023)






Figure 3.4 Investigation indicators: Peoria subregion (FY2023)






Figure 3.5 Investigation indicators: Springfield subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.6 Investigation indicators: East St. Louis subregion (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.7 Investigation indicators: Marion subregion (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.8 Investigation indicators: Cook region (FY2023)



## Substitute Care Placement Types

Figures 3.9 – 3.16 show the RDI for child placements in kinship foster care, traditional foster care, specialized foster care, and congregate care<sup>17</sup> for each subregion and the Cook region (see Appendix Tables G.31 through G.34). *Kinship foster care* involves placement of children with relatives in the relatives' homes. Relatives are the preferred placement for children who must be removed from their parents, as this kind of placement maintains the children's connections with their families. In Illinois, kinship care providers may be licensed or unlicensed. *Traditional foster care* involves placement of children with non-relative foster parents in the non-relative's home. Traditional foster parents have been trained, assessed, and licensed to provide shelter and care. *Specialized foster care* involves placement of children with foster parents who have been specially trained to care for children with certain medical or behavioral needs. *Congregate care* refers to placement in group homes or institutions. Please note that children could have more than one placement type during the fiscal year and were included in the counts for each placement type they experienced. Also note that the comparison population for these RDI was children in substitute care in the subregion (or Cook region) during the fiscal year rather than children in Illinois child population.

The results show that Black children are either proportionately represented or slightly under-represented in kinship care placements in the subregions and Cook region, proportionately represented or over-represented in specialized foster care placements, and over-represented in congregate care placements with the exception of the Champaign subregion, where they are under-represented (RDI = 0.92).<sup>18</sup> Black children's placements in traditional foster homes varied across the subregions: they were under-represented in the Aurora (RDI = 0.90), Rockford (RDI = 0.94) and East St. Louis subregions (RDI = 0.89), proportionately represented in the Champaign subregion (RDI = 0.96) and Cook region (RDI = 1.02), and over-represented in the Peoria (RDI = 1.15), Springfield (RDI = 1.19) and Marion subregions (RDI = 1.34).

White children were proportionately or close to proportionately represented in all four placement types in most subregions. The exceptions were being under-represented in traditional foster homes (RDI = 0.79) and specialized foster homes (RDI = 0.82) in the East St. Louis subregion, over-represented in congregate care placements in the Aurora subregion (RDI = 1.15), over-represented in kinship foster homes (RDI = 1.12) and under-represented in specialized foster homes (RDI = 0.87) and congregate care (RDI = 0.64) in the Cook region.

Latinx children were proportionately represented in kinship care placements in FY2023 in all subregions except for the Cook region, where they were over-represented compared to their percentage in substitute care (RDI = 1.12). Latinx children were proportionately represented in traditional foster care placements in the Aurora, Rockford, and Peoria subregions and over-represented in the Champaign, East St. Louis, and Marion subregions. RDI for Latinx children in

---

<sup>17</sup> Refer to Appendix C for technical definitions of these placement types.

<sup>18</sup> For the placement type indicators, over-representation is defined as an RDI of 1.05 or larger and under-representation is defined as an RDI of 0.95 or smaller.

specialized foster care and congregate care placements could not be computed for most subregions due to the small number of Latinx children in care.

Figure 3.9 Substitute care placements: Aurora subregion (FY2023)






Figure 3.10 Substitute care placements: Rockford subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.11 Substitute care placements: Champaign subregion (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.


Figure 3.12 Substitute care placements: Peoria subregion (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.




Figure 3.13 Substitute care placements: Springfield subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.14 Substitute care placements: East St. Louis subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.15 Substitute care placements: Marion subregion (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.


Figure 3.16 Substitute care placements: Cook region (FY2023)



## Length of Time in Substitute Care


Figures 3.17 – 3.24 show the RDI at the subregion level for length of time that children spent in substitute care before exiting to legal permanence (see Appendix Tables G.35 – G.40 for the results of the five racial/ethnic groups for each subregion). Results for Black children vary substantially between subregions, but in general, Black children in most subregions were over-represented among children who stayed in care less than 12 months, under-represented among children who stayed in care between 12 and 47 months, and over-represented among children who stayed in care 48 months or more. The results for White children varied quite a bit between subregions, with no consistent pattern emerging. RDI for Latinx children could not be computed for most of the length of stay indicators because the numbers of children in care in the subregions were too small.

Figure 3.17 Length of stay in substitute care: Aurora subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.18 Length of stay in substitute care: Rockford subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.19 Length of stay in substitute care: Champaign subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.20 Length of stay in substitute care: Peoria subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.21 Length of stay in substitute care: Springfield subregion (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.22 Length of stay in substitute care: East St. Louis subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.23 Length of stay in substitute care: Marion subregion (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.24 Length of stay in substitute care: Cook region (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.


### Family Permanence

Figures 3.25 – 3.32 show the subregion and Cook region RDI for children in each racial/ethnic group who exited substitute care during FY2023 and achieved a legally permanent family through reunification, adoption, guardianship or living with relatives. Appendix Table G.41 shows the results of the five racial/ethnic groups for each subregion that achieved legal family permanence, and Appendix Tables G.42 through G.44 show the results of the five racial/ethnic groups for each subregion that achieved legal permanence through reunification, adoption, and guardianship, respectively.

For Black children, the RDI for all types of permanence combined were mixed; in half of the subregions/region, Black children were under-represented among children who exited substitute care to a permanent home during FY2023 in half of the subregions/region and proportionately represented in the other half. When the RDI for Black children in reunifications in FY2023 are examined, there is no clear pattern of under- or over-representation among the subregions and Cook region. In five of the eight subregions and Cook region, Black children were under-represented among children who were adopted. RDI for Black children who exited to guardianships in FY2023 could not be calculated in all of the subregions because the numbers of Black children who exited from each subregion was too small. Black children in the Cook region were over-represented among children who achieved permanency through guardianship (RDI = 1.11).

White children were proportionately represented among children who achieved permanency in FY2023 and were over-represented among children who exited care through adoptions and guardianships. There was no clear pattern among the subregions and Cook region for White children who exited care through reunification. In most subregions, the number of Latinx children who achieve permanence was too small to calculate an RDI.


Figure 3.25 Children achieving permanence: Aurora subregion (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.




Figure 3.26 Children achieving permanence: Rockford subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.27 Children achieving permanence: Champaign subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.28 Children achieving permanence: Peoria subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.29 Children achieving permanence: Springfield subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.30 Children achieving permanence: East St. Louis subregion (FY2023)




Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.31 Children achieving permanence: Marion subregion (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

Figure 3.32 Children achieving permanence: Cook region (FY2023)



Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI is not reported.

## Chapter 4: Summary and Recommendations

Previous research produced by the Children and Family Research Center (CFRC) has documented that Black children are over-represented in the Illinois child welfare system at every decision point, including which children are investigated and which children are removed from their homes and placed into substitute care.<sup>19</sup> Recognizing that systematic and ongoing data collection is the first step in developing strategic interventions to reduce these long-standing inequities, HB2914 calls for an annual report that examines racial disproportionality in an expanded number of areas within the Illinois child welfare system as well as in related outcomes such as education, housing, and health.

To examine racial disproportionality, the CFRC computed a metric called the racial disproportionality index (RDI) that compares the percentage of children in a racial or ethnic group in a specific part of the child welfare system to the percentage of children in that same racial or ethnic group in a relevant base population. In this report, two sets of RDIs were computed that used different base populations for comparison. The first set of indicators focused on decisions that occur during a child protection investigation, including the decision to 1) screen in a hotline call for investigation, 2) indicate the investigation (i.e., finding credible evidence that the alleged maltreatment occurred), 3) send the investigation to the court for screening, 4) take temporary protective custody of the child, 5) develop a safety plan for the family, and 6) remove the child from the home and place them into substitute care. These *investigation indicators* used the percentage of children in each racial group in the Illinois child population as the comparison population when calculating the RDI.

The second set of indicators focused on decisions made after a child was placed into substitute care and included 1) the types of placements children were placed in, 2) the length of time children spent in substitute care before exiting the system and achieving family permanence, and 3) achieving family permanence via reunification, adoption, and guardianship. These *substitute care indicators* used the percentage of children in each racial group in the Illinois substitute care population as the comparison population when calculating the RDI. Because the comparison populations for the two sets of indicators are different, the size of the RDI for the investigation indicators and the placement indicators cannot be directly compared. However, interpretation of the RDIs for both sets of indicators is the same—RDIs greater than 1 indicate that a group is over-represented, RDIs less than 1 indicate that a group is under-represented, and RDIs close to 1 mean that the group is proportionately represented compared to the base population used.

Examination of the RDIs for the investigation indicators at the state level reveal that in FY2023, Black children were over-represented at every investigation decision point including screened-

---

<sup>19</sup> Children and Family Research Center. (2023). *Racial and ethnic disproportionality in the Illinois child welfare system: FY2023 report*. Urbana, IL: Children and Family Research Center. Available online: [https://cfr Illinois.edu/pubs/rp\\_20231016\\_RacialAndEthnicDisproportionalityInTheIllinoisChildWelfareSystemFY2023Report.pdf](https://cfr Illinois.edu/pubs/rp_20231016_RacialAndEthnicDisproportionalityInTheIllinoisChildWelfareSystemFY2023Report.pdf)

in investigations, indicated investigations, protective custodies, safety plans, and entries into substitute care. For most of the investigation indicators, the RDI for Black children were close to 2.0, which means that the percentages of Black children involved in that part of the child welfare system were about two times more than the percentage of Black children in the Illinois child population. A few of the investigation RDIs for Black children were greater than 2.5 in FY2023, including children investigated for lack of supervision/lock out (RDI = 2.66), children in indicated investigations for neglect (RDI = 2.65), children in indicated investigations for lack of supervision/lock out (RDI = 2.84), and children in indicated physical abuse investigations (RDI = 2.52). Although Black children were predominantly over-represented, there were two investigation indicators where Black children were proportionately represented, including children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (RDI = 1.06) and children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court (RDI = 1.06).

The over-representation of Black children was seen at the first investigation decision point that was examined in this report (hotline calls that are screened-in to become investigations). There is an additional decision that comes before the decision to screen-in a hotline call for investigation, and that is the decision that mandated and non-mandated reporters make when they initiate a call to the child abuse and neglect hotline. The CFRC does not have access to data on calls to the hotline, but unpublished data from DCFS have shown that the RDI for Black children for hotline intakes was 2.0 in FY2022. This means that Black children are over-represented among those that are reported for abuse and neglect in Illinois, which is something that DCFS has no control over.

Once the over-representation of Black children is introduced at the first investigation decision point, whether it is hotline intakes or screened-in investigations, the degree of over-representation did *not* increase at subsequent investigation decision points in FY2023, including protective custodies, indicated investigations, investigations screened by the court, and substitute care entries. However, if the data on investigation RDI are examined over the past five years (see Appendix Tables E.1 – E. 30), there is an increase in over-representation of Black children at the protective custody and substitute care entry decision points between FY2021 and FY2023. Therefore, it is important to continue to monitor the RDI at these investigation decision points to identify if disproportionality begins to increase again.

Examination of the RDI for the placement indicators identifies two areas where Black children continue to be over-represented compared to the percentage of Black children in care. The first area is the use of restrictive placement types. When children are removed from their homes the preference is to place them with relatives in kinship foster homes, which preserve their ties with family and community. If a placement with kin is not possible, placement in a traditional (non-relative) foster home is sought. Children who require a higher level of care are placed in specialized foster care with foster families who have been specially trained to care for children with certain medical or behavioral needs. Placements in congregate care settings such as group homes and institutions are the least preferred type of placement for children, as they are often located long distances away from their families, which can hamper visits and efforts toward reunification. In FY2023, Black children were placed in kinship and traditional foster homes at

rates that were similar to their percentage in the substitute care population. However, the RDI for Black children in congregate care facilities in FY2023 was 1.25, which means that Black children were over-represented in these placements compared to their percentage in the substitute care population. In addition, the RDI for Black children in specialized foster homes was 1.30 in FY2023, which indicates that a higher percentage of Black children have medical or behavioral needs that require specially trained foster parents when compared to the percentage of Black children in the substitute care population.

The second concern is that Black children continued to be disproportionately represented among those with lengthy stays in substitute care before exiting the system. When children are removed from their homes, the goal is to move them out of substitute care as soon as it is safe and reasonable to do so. The current report examined this indicator by looking at the number of months that children in different racial groups spent in care before exiting, with indicators looking at stays in care less than 12 months, 12-23 months, 24-35 months, 36-47 months, 48-59 months, and 60 or more months. The results showed that Black children were under-represented among children who remain in care 12–59 months before exiting and were over-represented among children who remained in care 60 months or more in FY2023 (RDI = 1.34). This last number is especially striking when compared to the RDI for White children with a length of stay that was 60 months or more (RDI = 0.78 in FY2023).

The last set of placement indicators examined children who exited substitute care to achieve family permanence through reunification, adoption, guardianship, and living with relatives, all of which are considered positive outcomes. When compared to the percentage of Black children in substitute care in FY2023, Black children were under-represented among those who exited substitute care through reunification (RDI = 0.93), adoption (RDI = 0.76), and all types of permanencies combined (RDI = 0.89).

The results of previous disproportionality analyses completed by the Children and Family Research Center revealed that White children were proportionately represented at most child welfare decision points.<sup>20</sup> The results of the current report, which examined an expanded set of child welfare indicators, also revealed that White children were proportionately represented (or close to this) for almost all child welfare indicators examined. The exceptions were indicators involving the placement of children in specialized foster care homes and congregate care placements and lengths of stay in placement 60 months or more before exiting; for these indicators, White children were under-represented. Also, White children were over-represented among those children who achieved permanence through adoption (RDI = 1.27) and all forms of permanence combined (RDI = 1.12).

---

<sup>20</sup> Children and Family Research Center. (2023). *Racial and ethnic disproportionality in the Illinois child welfare system: FY2023 report*. Urbana, IL: Children and Family Research Center. Available online: [https://cfr.c.illinois.edu/pubs/rp\\_20231016\\_RacialAndEthnicDisproportionalityInTheIllinoisChildWelfareSystemFY2023Report.pdf](https://cfr.c.illinois.edu/pubs/rp_20231016_RacialAndEthnicDisproportionalityInTheIllinoisChildWelfareSystemFY2023Report.pdf)

Latinx families are historically under-represented in child welfare systems despite having similar socioeconomic issues as Black families;<sup>21</sup> the findings in the current report regarding Latinx children in the Illinois child welfare system are no different. Latinx children were under-represented in all the investigation indicators examined in the current report. Similar to White children, Latinx children were under-represented among children placed in congregate care settings when compared to their representation in the substitute care population (RDI = 0.73). However, Latinx children were also under-represented among children who achieved permanence through adoption (RDI = 0.66), guardianship (RDI = 0.83), and all forms of permanence combined (0.90).

The purpose of this report is to provide systematic and ongoing data on the disproportionate representation of Black children in the Illinois child welfare system that will pinpoint areas for potential interventions. The results of this second annual report confirm the results of previous analyses that Black children are over-represented in every decision point during an investigation. In addition, Black children are over-represented in specialized foster care and congregate care placements as well as among children who remain in substitute care 60 months or more before exiting to permanence. Finally, Black children are under-represented among those who achieve family permanence through reunification and adoption. Based on these findings, the following recommendations are made:

1. In addition to dissemination of the findings of this report to the General Assembly, the report should be distributed to all child welfare staff (both DCFS and private agency). Staff should be encouraged to respond to the findings and offer their insights into the potential causes of the over-representation of Black children in the Illinois child welfare system.
2. HB2914 called for an examination of disproportionality in educational success, health and behavioral health, housing, jobs or economic justice, criminal justice, and other key metrics of child and family well-being. These indicators were not included in this report because comprehensive data on child and family well-being outcomes are not currently collected by DCFS. Therefore, to include these indicators in future reports, it will be necessary to obtain other data sources that contain information on child well-being, or to begin to systematically collect new data on key child welfare indicators.
3. In addition, future reports should include the RDI for hotline calls. The Children and Family Research Center does not currently have access to these data and therefore should be given access in order to compute the RDI at this decision point.
4. The analyses in this report highlighted two areas of the child welfare system that deserve additional study to gain a better understanding of the family, caseworker, policy, and system factors that are contributing to the increases in disproportionality.

---

<sup>21</sup> Child Welfare Information Gateway. (2021). *Child welfare practice to address racial disproportionality and disparity*. Available online: <https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubs/issue-briefs/racial-disproportionality/>



Based on these results, a comprehensive study of the factors that lead to long stays (four years or longer) in substitute care is recommended. This study should incorporate and prioritize the perspectives of Black families who have been involved in the child welfare system and should include a detailed analyses of how the legal system impacts the length of stay for Black children in Illinois. In addition, a separate study of the factors that result in the placement of Black children in congregate care facilities is needed.

5. Although the disproportionate representation of Black children in the child welfare system is recognized as a national problem, few interventions to reduce these inequalities have been developed and evaluated. The Illinois Department of Children and Family Services has the opportunity to lead the nation in the development of effective strategies to address disproportionality, but only if there are deliberate and thoughtful plans to evaluate both the implementation and outcomes of the new interventions.

## Appendix A: House Bill 2914

Public Act 102-0451

HB2914 Enrolled

AN ACT concerning State government.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

Section 5. The Children and Family Services Act is amended by adding Section 41.5 as follows:

(20 ILCS 505/41.5 new)

Sec. 41.5. Racial disparities reports. Due to the historical reality of the role of government in creating and maintaining racial inequities, no later than December 31, 2022, and no later than December 31 of each year thereafter, the Department shall prepare and submit an annual report, covering the previous fiscal year, to the General Assembly regarding racial disparities for children and families involved in the child welfare system. The report shall be conducted by a research institution at a public university and must include, at a minimum, the following data de-aggregated by race as compared, where appropriate, to population-level data:

(1) education success, health and behavioral health, housing, jobs or economic justice, criminal justice, and other key metrics that serve as indicators of child and family well-being and can measure socioeconomic conditions in communities; and

(2) children and families involved in a safety plan, the number of protective custodies, the number of investigations of each type of abuse and neglect allegation described in 89 Ill. Adm. Code 300.Appendix B and the findings of such investigations, the number of Department recommended court filings for each allegation type, the number of intakes into the foster care system, placement settings, lengths of stay, and permanency outcomes.

## Appendix B: Child Abuse and Neglect Maltreatment Type Definitions

Maltreatment Type	Allegation Number	Allegation Description and Old Allegation Number
<b>Risk of Harm</b>	8	Substantial Risk of Physical Injury/Environment Injurious to Health and Welfare (10)
	31	Substantial Risk of Physical Injury/Environment Injurious to Health and Welfare by Neglect (60)
	86	Substantial risk of physical injury environment injurious to health and welfare - incidents of violence or intimidation (10a)
	87	Substantial risk of physical injury/environment injurious to health and welfare - medical child abuse (Factitious disorder by proxy or Munchausen by proxy syndrome) (10b)
<b>Neglect</b>	24	Death by Neglect (51)
	25	Head Injuries by Neglect (52)
	26	Internal Injuries by Neglect (54)
	27	Burns by Neglect (55)
	28	Poison - Noxious Substances by Neglect (56)
	29	Wounds by Neglect (57)
	30	Bone Fractures by Neglect (59)
	32	Cuts Bruises Welts Abrasions and Oral Injuries by Neglect (61)
	33	Human Bites by Neglect (62)
	34	Sprains/Dislocations by Neglect (63)
	42	Medical Neglect (79)
	43	Failure to Thrive (81)
	45	Malnutrition (83)
	47	Medical Neglect of Disabled Infants (85)
96	Neglect by Agency (86)	
<b>Environmental Neglect</b>	39	Inadequate Food (76)
	40	Inadequate Shelter (77)
	41	Inadequate Clothing (78)
	44	Environmental Neglect (82)
<b>Lack of supervision/lock out</b>	37	Inadequate Supervision (74)
	38	Abandonment/Desertion (75)
	46	Lock Out (84)
	78	Inadequate Supervision - Left Alone at Home, Outside or in the Community (74a)
	79	Inadequate Supervision - Left Alone in Vehicle (74b)
	80	Inadequate Supervision - Left in the Care of an Inadequate Caregiver (74c)
	81	Inadequate Supervision - General Category (74d)
	93	Lock Out – Community Location (84a)
	94	Lock Out – Psychiatrically Hospitalized (84b)

	95	Lock Out – Correctional Facility (84c)
<b>Physical Abuse</b>	1	Death (1)
	2	Head Injuries (2)
	3	Internal Injuries (4)
	4	Burns (5)
	5	Poison Noxious Substances (6)
	6	Wounds (7)
	7	Bone Fractures (9)
	10	Cuts Bruises Welts Abrasions and Oral Injuries (11)
	11	Human Bites (12)
	12	Sprains/Dislocations (13)
<b>Sexual Abuse/ Human Trafficking</b>	17	Sexually Transmitted Diseases (18)
	18	Sexual Penetration (19)
	19	Sexual Exploitation (20)
	20	Sexual Molestation (21)
	21	Substantial Risk of Sexual Abuse - Sex offender has access (22a)
	22	Substantial Risk of Sexual Abuse - Sibling of sex abuse victim (22b)
	23	Substantial Risk of Sexual Abuse - Sexualized behavior of young child (22c)
	75	Substantial Risk of Sexual Abuse - Child Pornography (22d)
	76	Human Trafficking of Children (40)
	77	Human Trafficking of Children by Neglect (90)
	92	Substantial Risk of Sexual Abuse - Suggestive Behavior (22e)
<b>Emotional Abuse</b>	13	Tying/Close Confinement (14)
	15	Torture (16)
	16	Mental Injury (17)
	36	Mental Injury by Neglect (67)
<b>Substance Exposure</b>	14	Substance Misuse (15)
	35	Substance Misuse by Neglect (65)

## Appendix C: Placement Type Definitions

There are four placement types included in this report: kinship foster care, traditional foster care, specialized foster care, and congregate care. Placement type is determined by two fields in the Child and Youth Centered Information System (CYCIS) database: service code and child living arrangement type. In defining each placement type, the service code was given priority over the child living arrangement type. If a service code was not present, child living arrangement type was used to define a child's placement type.

1. **Kinship foster care** involves placement of children with relatives in the relatives' homes. Relatives are the preferred placement for children who must be removed from their parents, as this kind of placement maintains the children's connections with their families. In Illinois, kinship care providers may be licensed or unlicensed.

Children are included in this category if the description of the service code includes any indication that the service is for a home of a relative or kinship placement regardless of living arrangement code. If no service code is present, the following living arrangements codes are included in the category: Home of Relative (HMR) and Home of Fictive Kin (HFK).

2. **Traditional foster care** involves placement of children with non-relative foster parents in the non-relative's home. Traditional foster parents have been trained, assessed, and licensed to provide shelter and care.

Children are included in this category if the description of the service code includes any indication that the service is for a foster home regardless of living arrangement code. If no service code is present, the following living arrangements codes are included in the category: Foster Home Boarding DCFS (FHB), Foster Home Indian (FHI), Foster Home Boarding Private Agency (FHP), Foster Home Adoption (FHA), Foster Home Guardianship (FHG).

3. **Specialized foster care** involves placement of children with foster parents who have been specially trained to care for children with certain medical or behavioral needs. Examples include medically fragile children, children with emotional or behavioral disorders, and children with HIV/AIDS. Specialized foster parents are required to obtain additional training to become licensed, provide more support for children than regular family foster care, and have lower limits on the number of children that can be cared for in their home.

Children are included in this category if the description of the service code includes any indication that the service is for a foster home prepared to accommodate children who may require additional individual attention regardless of living arrangement code. If no service code is present, the following living arrangements codes are included in the

category: Foster Home Specialized (FHS), Foster Home Treatment (FHT), Therapeutic Foster Home (TFH), and Emergency Foster Care (EFC).

- 4. Congregate care** refers to placement in a group homes or institution. A group home is a community-based residence that houses more children than are permitted to reside in a foster family home, but fewer than a residential treatment center. In Illinois, the number of children in a group home is limited to 10 or fewer. All other non-family settings are combined into a broad category called institutions. This category includes a variety of congregate care placements such as residential treatment centers, detention centers, hospitals and other health facilities, and emergency shelters.

Children are included in this category if the description of the service code includes any indication that the service is for a group home, emergency shelter, or any type of institutional care facility regardless of living arrangement code. If no service code is present, the following living arrangements codes are included in the category: Group Home (GRH), Detention Facility/Jail (DET), Institution DCFS (ICF), Institution Department of Corrections (IDC), Institution Department of Mental Health (IMH), Institution Private Child Care Facility (IPA), Institution Rehabilitation Services (IRS), Nursing Care Facility (NCF), Institute Private Shelter (IPS), Qualified Residential Treatment (QRT), Youth Emergency Shelters (YES), and Institution Other Public (IOP).

## Appendix D: Child Welfare Indicator Definitions

### General notes:

- For indicators 1 – 29: children who were 17 years old and younger on the investigation report date were included and children in investigations that took place in facilities were included.
- If a child had more than one investigation, indicated investigation, or investigation screened by the court during the fiscal year, they were only counted once. In other words, the counts for each indicator are unduplicated.
- If a child had more than one maltreatment type or indicated maltreatment type in an investigation, they were counted once for each per year.
- Information on court screening became available in July 2021 and was therefore only available for investigations with report dates in FY2022.
- SACWIS includes five categories for in the "screened by court" variable: 1) not screened; 2) screened, petition filed, temporary custody to DCFS; 3) screened, State's Attorney reviewed and did not file petition; 4) screened, petition filed, no temporary custody to DCFS, children home under court order; and 5) screened, petition filed, court found no probable cause, case dismissed. To compute the RDI, this variable was recoded into two categories: 1) not screened by court and 2) screened by court (this included the four categories that were screened by court).
- For all indicators involving children in substitute care, children who entered substitute care and stayed seven days or fewer were excluded.
- If a child had more than one placement type during the fiscal year, they were counted once for each.

### **Indicator 1: Children in investigations (all maltreatment types)**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of any type and a report date during the fiscal year.

### **Indicator 2: Children in risk of harm investigations**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of risk of harm (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

### **Indicator 3: Children in neglect investigations**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of neglect (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

### **Indicator 4: Children in environmental neglect investigations**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of environmental neglect (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 5: Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of lack of supervision/lock out (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 6: Children in physical abuse investigations**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of physical abuse (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 7: Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of sexual abuse/human trafficking (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 8: Children in emotional abuse investigations**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of emotional abuse (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 9: Children in substance exposure investigations**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of substance exposure (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 10: Children in indicated investigations (all maltreatment types)**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one indicated allegation of any type and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 11: Children in indicated risk of harm investigations**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one indicated allegation of risk of harm (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 12: Children in indicated neglect investigations**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one indicated allegation of neglect (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 13: Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one indicated allegation of environmental neglect (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.



**Indicator 14: Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one indicated allegation of lack of supervision/lock out (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 15: Children in indicated physical abuse investigations**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one indicated allegation of physical abuse (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 16: Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one indicated allegation of sexual abuse/human trafficking (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 17: Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one indicated allegation of emotional abuse (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 18: Children in indicated substance exposure investigations**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one indicated allegation of substance exposure (see Appendix A for allegations included in this maltreatment type) and a report date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 19: Children in investigations screened by court (all maltreatment types)**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one allegation of any type who were referred for court screening.

**Indicator 20: Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one risk of harm allegation who were referred for court screening.

**Indicator 21: Children in neglect investigations screened by court**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one neglect allegation who were referred for court screening.

**Indicator 22: Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one environmental neglect allegation who were referred for court screening.

**Indicator 23: Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one lack of supervision/lock out allegation who were referred for court screening.

**Indicator 24: Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one physical abuse allegation who were referred for court screening.

**Indicator 25: Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one sexual abuse/human trafficking allegation who were referred for court screening.

**Indicator 26: Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one emotional abuse allegation who were referred for court screening.

**Indicator 27: Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court**

Population includes children in investigations with at least one substance exposure allegation who were referred for court screening.

**Indicator 28: Children in protective custodies**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of any type and a report date during the fiscal year who were taken into protective custody.

**Indicator 29: Children involved in safety plans**

Population includes children in investigated reports with at least one allegation of any type and a report date during the fiscal year who had a safety plan record. If a child had more than one safety plan during the fiscal year, they were counted once per year.

**Indicator 30: Children who entered substitute care**

Population includes children age 17 and younger who entered substitute care, i.e., had a legal substitute care entry date during the fiscal year.

**Indicator 31: Children in kinship foster care placements**

Population includes children age 17 and younger on their legal substitute care entry date who were placed in a kinship foster care placement during the fiscal year (see Appendix C for placement type definitions).

**Indicator 32: Children in traditional foster care placements**

Population includes children age 17 and younger on their legal substitute care entry date who were placed in a traditional foster care placement during the fiscal year (see Appendix C for placement type definitions).

**Indicator 33: Children in specialized foster care placements**

Population includes children age 17 and younger on their legal substitute care entry date who were placed in a specialized foster care placement during the fiscal year (see Appendix C for placement type definitions).

**Indicator 34: Children in congregate care placements**

Population includes children age 17 and younger on their legal substitute care entry date who were placed in a group home, institution, emergency shelter or any other congregate care facility during the fiscal year (see Appendix C for placement type definitions).

**Indicator 35: Children in substitute care less than 12 months before exiting**

Population includes children who had been in care less than 12 months and who exited substitute care to achieve legal permanence during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

**Indicator 36: Children in substitute care 12-23 months before exiting**

Population includes children who had been in care between 12 and 23 months and who exited substitute care to achieve legal permanence during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

**Indicator 37: Children in substitute care 24-35 months before exiting**

Population includes children who had been in care between 24 and 35 months and who exited substitute care to achieve legal permanence during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

**Indicator 38: Children in substitute care 36-47 months before exiting**

Population includes children who had been in care between 36 and 47 months and who exited substitute care to achieve legal permanence during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

**Indicator 39: Children in substitute care 48-59 months before exiting**

Population includes children who had been in care between 48 and 59 months and who exited substitute care to achieve legal permanence during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

**Indicator 40: Children in substitute care 60 or more months before exiting**

Population includes children who had been in care 60 or more months and who exited substitute care to achieve legal permanence during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

**Indicator 41: Children who achieved permanence**

Population includes children who exited substitute care and achieved legal permanence through reunification, adoption, guardianship, or living with relatives during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

**Indicator 42: Children who achieved permanence through reunification**

Population includes children who exited substitute care and achieved legal permanence through reunification during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

**Indicator 43: Children who achieved permanence through adoption**

Population includes children who exited substitute care and achieved legal permanence through adoption during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

**Indicator 44: Children who achieved permanence through guardianship**

Population includes children who exited substitute care and achieved legal permanence through guardianship during the fiscal year. Children who were 17 and younger when they exited substitute care were included.

## Appendix E: Racial/Ethnic Disproportionality Data (State)

Appendix E provides data for the racial/ethnic disproportionality analyses for the state included in this report. For all the indicators except Indicators 19 through 27, data are presented for the past five fiscal years. Indicators 19 through 27, which are based on court screening decisions, only use FY2022 and FY2023 data because this data only started to be collected and stored in the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS) database from July 2021.

The data used in this appendix comes from three sources. Illinois child population data (ages 0–19)<sup>22</sup> was obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau.<sup>23</sup> The 2022 Illinois child population data was used to calculate RDIs in FY2022 and FY2023. Child welfare data were obtained from SACWIS and the Child and Youth Centered Information System (CYCIS). Both the SACWIS data and the CYCIS data were extracted on September 30, 2023.

The numbers in this appendix are rounded to two decimal places for display purposes. If the number of children in a racial/ethnic group for an indicator was 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI was less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) because percentages based on small numbers are unreliable.

---

<sup>22</sup> The child population data with ages 0-19 was used because we needed the data with seven racial/ethnic groups for the subregion level analysis. We could not find the child population data with ages 0-17 that included the seven racial/ethnic categories at the county level. We needed the county-level data so we could aggregate it to create the subregion-level child population data. We compared the racial distributions of children with ages 0-19 with that with ages 0-17 at the state level and verified that both distributions were very similar.

<sup>23</sup> The data source for the Illinois child population from FY2019 was the following:

U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division. (2020). CC-EST2020-ALLDATA-17: Annual county resident population estimates by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019. Retrieved from <https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/datasets/2010-2020/counties/asrh/>

The data source for the Illinois child population from FY2020-2022 was the following:

U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division. (2023). CC-EST2022-ALLDATA-17: Annual county resident population estimates by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: April 1, 2020 to July 1, 2022. Retrieved from <https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/datasets/2020-2022/counties/asrh/>

**Table E.1 Children in investigations (all maltreatment types)**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in investigated reports (%)	32.55	32.49	32.08	32.57	32.92
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.12	2.13	2.10	2.13	2.15
<b>White</b>					
Children in investigated reports (%)	45.18	45.59	45.46	43.80	42.79
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.87	0.85
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in investigated reports (%)	18.32	17.90	18.03	18.96	19.36
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.75	0.73	0.72	0.76	0.78
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in investigated reports (%)	1.36	1.31	1.40	1.54	1.51
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.27	0.27
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in investigated reports (%)	1.29	1.41	1.52	1.47	1.61
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.37	0.40	0.42	0.40	0.44
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in investigated reports (%)	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.08
Total child population (%)	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14
RDI	0.64	0.59	0.59	0.46	0.60
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in investigated reports (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.2 Children in risk of harm investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in risk of harm investigations (%)	32.19	32.24	32.11	32.85	32.59
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.10	2.11	2.10	2.15	2.13
<b>White</b>					
Children in risk of harm investigations (%)	46.32	46.45	45.94	44.08	43.08
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.88	0.86
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in risk of harm investigations (%)	17.74	17.50	17.55	18.49	19.48
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.72	0.71	0.71	0.74	0.78
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in risk of harm investigations (%)	1.36	1.32	1.51	1.65	1.55
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.27
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in risk of harm investigations (%)	1.38	1.49	1.66	1.56	1.73
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.39	0.42	0.46	0.42	0.47
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in risk of harm investigations (%)	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.08
Total child population (%)	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14
RDI	0.69	0.69	0.61	0.44	0.54
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in risk of harm investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.3 Children in neglect investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in neglect investigations (%)	36.77	35.72	31.71	33.48	33.87
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.40	2.34	2.07	2.19	2.21
<b>White</b>					
Children in neglect investigations (%)	43.55	45.00	47.66	44.64	45.14
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.85	0.89	0.95	0.89	0.90
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in neglect investigations (%)	16.23	15.79	16.15	17.39	16.34
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.66	0.64	0.65	0.70	0.65
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in neglect investigations (%)	1.45	1.43	1.50	1.79	1.75
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.31	0.31
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in neglect investigations (%)	1.32	1.25	1.78	1.42	1.73
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.38	0.35	0.49	0.38	0.47
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table E.4 Children in environmental neglect investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in environmental neglect investigations (%)	32.33	33.11	33.47	33.21	33.20
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.11	2.17	2.19	2.17	2.17
<b>White</b>					
Children in environmental neglect investigations (%)	53.28	51.52	51.70	50.77	50.45
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.05	1.01	1.03	1.01	1.01
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in environmental neglect investigations (%)	11.62	12.60	12.01	12.93	13.05
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.47	0.51	0.48	0.52	0.52
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in environmental neglect investigations (%)	0.62	0.37	0.37	0.42	0.49
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in environmental neglect investigations (%)	1.39	1.61	1.60	1.60	1.68
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.40	0.45	0.44	0.43	0.45
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in environmental neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in environmental neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.5 Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	38.17	39.27	39.07	40.16	40.65
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.49	2.57	2.55	2.62	2.66
<b>White</b>					
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	42.77	41.50	40.94	39.62	38.50
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.84	0.82	0.81	0.79	0.77
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	15.71	15.86	16.47	16.34	16.63
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.64	0.64	0.66	0.65	0.67
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	0.90	0.95	0.98	0.96	0.99
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	1.39	1.45	1.46	1.43	1.61
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.40	0.41	0.40	0.39	0.43
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	*	*	0.11	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	0.14	*	*
RDI	*	*	0.75	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.6 Children in physical abuse investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in physical abuse investigations (%)	33.57	33.96	31.38	33.41	34.31
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.19	2.22	2.05	2.18	2.24
<b>White</b>					
Children in physical abuse investigations (%)	43.45	43.23	47.34	42.37	41.16
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.85	0.85	0.94	0.85	0.82
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in physical abuse investigations (%)	19.46	19.10	17.38	20.25	20.25
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.79	0.78	0.70	0.81	0.81
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in physical abuse investigations (%)	1.55	1.73	1.53	1.81	1.91
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.28	0.31	0.27	0.32	0.33
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in physical abuse investigations (%)	1.30	1.34	1.63	1.52	1.57
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.37	0.38	0.45	0.41	0.43
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in physical abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in physical abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.7 Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	27.33	26.16	25.53	25.30	26.51
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.78	1.71	1.67	1.65	1.73
<b>White</b>					
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	45.69	46.57	47.52	46.01	45.11
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.92	0.90
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	22.78	22.40	22.32	23.68	22.88
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.93	0.91	0.90	0.95	0.92
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	0.91	1.00	0.85	1.19	1.21
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.21	0.21
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	1.02	1.22	1.21	1.28	1.36
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.29	0.34	0.33	0.35	0.37
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.8 Children in emotional abuse investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in emotional abuse investigations (%)	21.87	20.36	18.76	21.55	22.53
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.43	1.33	1.23	1.41	1.47
<b>White</b>					
Children in emotional abuse investigations (%)	54.45	58.34	60.10	55.46	51.87
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.07	1.15	1.19	1.11	1.04
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in emotional abuse investigations (%)	18.61	16.63	15.87	17.27	19.12
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.76	0.68	0.64	0.69	0.77
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in emotional abuse investigations (%)	2.88	2.47	2.82	3.00	3.66
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.53	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.64
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in emotional abuse investigations (%)	*	1.26	1.31	1.17	1.54
Total child population (%)	*	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	*	0.35	0.36	0.32	0.42
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in emotional abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in emotional abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.9 Children in substance exposure investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in substance exposure investigations (%)	24.33	23.83	25.44	26.19	27.00
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.59	1.56	1.66	1.71	1.76
<b>White</b>					
Children in substance exposure investigations (%)	59.20	59.75	56.99	57.15	55.97
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.16	1.18	1.13	1.14	1.12
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in substance exposure investigations (%)	12.85	13.93	14.41	13.54	13.79
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.52	0.57	0.58	0.54	0.55
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in substance exposure investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in substance exposure investigations (%)	1.79	1.32	1.74	1.66	1.62
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.51	0.37	0.48	0.45	0.44
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in substance exposure investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in substance exposure investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.10 Children in indicated investigations (all maltreatment types)**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in indicated investigations (%)	33.37	34.34	33.18	32.82	32.54
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.18	2.25	2.17	2.14	2.13
<b>White</b>					
Children in indicated investigations (%)	45.73	44.08	43.53	43.78	44.19
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.90	0.87	0.86	0.87	0.88
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in indicated investigations (%)	17.81	18.44	19.58	19.82	19.28
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.73	0.75	0.79	0.79	0.77
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in indicated investigations (%)	0.93	0.98	1.15	1.13	1.12
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.20
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in indicated investigations (%)	1.51	1.60	1.81	1.67	1.90
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.43	0.45	0.50	0.45	0.51
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in indicated investigations (%)	0.10	0.07	0.10	*	*
Total child population (%)	0.14	0.14	0.14	*	*
RDI	0.72	0.51	0.73	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in indicated investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.11 Children in indicated risk of harm investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in indicated risk of harm investigations (%)	32.87	33.60	32.95	33.27	32.00
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.15	2.20	2.15	2.17	2.09
<b>White</b>					
Children in indicated risk of harm investigations (%)	47.66	45.12	44.14	43.98	44.39
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.94	0.89	0.88	0.88	0.89
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in indicated risk of harm investigations (%)	16.31	17.99	19.18	19.07	19.56
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.67	0.73	0.77	0.76	0.78
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in indicated risk of harm investigations (%)	0.90	0.94	1.15	1.20	1.14
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.17	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.20
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in indicated risk of harm investigations (%)	1.63	1.86	1.92	1.77	2.04
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.47	0.52	0.53	0.48	0.55
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in indicated risk of harm investigations (%)	0.12	*	0.10	*	*
Total child population (%)	0.14	*	0.14	*	*
RDI	0.87	*	0.69	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in indicated risk of harm investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table E.12 Children in indicated neglect investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in indicated neglect investigations (%)	44.70	46.93	39.89	42.70	40.53
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.92	3.07	2.61	2.79	2.65
<b>White</b>					
Children in indicated neglect investigations (%)	38.15	34.64	39.53	37.80	39.42
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.75	0.68	0.79	0.75	0.79
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in indicated neglect investigations (%)	13.85	15.64	17.18	15.65	15.97
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.56	0.64	0.69	0.63	0.64
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in indicated neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in indicated neglect investigations (%)	1.88	*	*	1.69	1.78
Total child population (%)	3.50	*	*	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.54	*	*	0.46	0.48
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in indicated neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in indicated neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.13 Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations (%)	26.59	29.73	27.00	25.74	25.54
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.74	1.94	1.76	1.68	1.67
<b>White</b>					
Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations (%)	60.30	57.84	56.89	60.22	61.14
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.18	1.14	1.13	1.20	1.22
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations (%)	11.36	10.41	12.95	10.98	10.85
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.46	0.42	0.52	0.44	0.43
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations (%)	1.00	1.33	2.16	2.13	1.80
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.29	0.37	0.60	0.58	0.49
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.14 Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	39.59	42.70	41.88	42.12	43.47
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.58	2.79	2.74	2.75	2.84
<b>White</b>					
Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	41.94	38.33	38.02	36.16	37.19
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.82	0.75	0.76	0.72	0.74
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	15.56	16.01	16.37	18.45	15.59
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.63	0.65	0.66	0.74	0.62
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	0.96	1.07	1.35	0.77	0.96
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.18	0.19	0.24	0.14	0.17
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	1.63	1.38	1.68	1.92	2.05
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.47	0.39	0.46	0.52	0.55
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.15 Children in indicated physical abuse investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in indicated physical abuse investigations (%)	40.26	40.41	36.64	38.08	38.52
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.63	2.64	2.39	2.49	2.52
<b>White</b>					
Children in indicated physical abuse investigations (%)	36.90	37.86	41.52	38.31	39.26
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.72	0.75	0.82	0.76	0.78
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in indicated physical abuse investigations (%)	19.37	18.56	18.11	19.79	18.61
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.79	0.75	0.73	0.79	0.75
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in indicated physical abuse investigations (%)	1.00	1.70	1.38	1.55	1.39
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.18	0.31	0.25	0.27	0.24
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in indicated physical abuse investigations (%)	1.77	1.20	1.89	1.82	1.81
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.51	0.34	0.52	0.49	0.49
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in indicated physical abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in indicated physical abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.16 Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	27.51	26.00	24.98	21.00	21.91
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.80	1.70	1.63	1.37	1.43
<b>White</b>					
Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	42.51	43.86	43.52	44.74	45.95
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.83	0.86	0.86	0.89	0.92
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	27.40	27.41	28.40	31.28	28.28
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	1.12	1.11	1.14	1.25	1.13
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	0.53	0.80	0.54	0.83	0.98
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.15	0.17
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	0.85	0.92	1.42	1.03	1.16
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.24	0.26	0.39	0.28	0.31
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.17 Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (%)	20.00	24.49	13.73	19.62	16.27
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.31	1.60	0.90	1.28	1.06
<b>White</b>					
Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (%)	65.56	52.55	65.20	66.99	60.84
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.29	1.03	1.29	1.34	1.21
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (%)	12.22	20.41	15.69	*	16.87
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	*	24.99
RDI	0.50	0.83	0.63	*	0.68
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.18 Children in indicated substance exposure investigations**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in indicated substance exposure investigations (%)	26.22	23.69	25.64	25.19	25.55
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.71	1.55	1.67	1.65	1.67
<b>White</b>					
Children in indicated substance exposure investigations (%)	58.51	59.56	56.39	60.05	60.15
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.15	1.17	1.12	1.20	1.20
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in indicated substance exposure investigations (%)	11.63	13.96	14.14	11.37	10.61
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.47	0.57	0.57	0.46	0.43
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in indicated substance exposure investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in indicated substance exposure investigations (%)	2.43	1.78	2.78	2.21	2.31
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.69	0.50	0.77	0.60	0.62
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in indicated substance exposure investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in indicated substance exposure investigations (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.19 Children in investigations screened by court (all maltreatment types)**

	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>		
Children in investigations screened by court (%)	29.22	29.43
Total child population (%)	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.91	1.92
<b>White</b>		
Children in investigations screened by court (%)	56.25	56.58
Total child population (%)	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.12	1.13
<b>Latinx</b>		
Children in investigations screened by court (%)	11.09	10.01
Total child population (%)	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.44	0.40
<b>Asian</b>		
Children in investigations screened by court (%)	0.61	0.65
Total child population (%)	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.11	0.11
<b>Multiracial</b>		
Children in investigations screened by court (%)	2.06	2.43
Total child population (%)	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.56	0.66
<b>Native American</b>		
Children in investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>		
Children in investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table E.20 Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court**

	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>
<b>Black</b>		
Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court (%)	29.42	30.26
Total child population (%)	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.92	1.98
<b>White</b>		
Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court (%)	56.29	55.45
Total child population (%)	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.12	1.11
<b>Latinx</b>		
Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court (%)	10.78	10.13
Total child population (%)	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.43	0.41
<b>Asian</b>		
Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court (%)	0.66	0.59
Total child population (%)	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.12	0.10
<b>Multiracial</b>		
Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court (%)	2.13	2.67
Total child population (%)	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.58	0.72
<b>Native American</b>		
Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>		
Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.21 Children in neglect investigations screened by court**

	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>		
Children in neglect investigations screened by court (%)	36.94	31.89
Total child population (%)	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.41	2.08
<b>White</b>		
Children in neglect investigations screened by court (%)	47.90	52.26
Total child population (%)	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.96	1.04
<b>Latinx</b>		
Children in neglect investigations screened by court (%)	11.45	10.70
Total child population (%)	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.46	0.43
<b>Asian</b>		
Children in neglect investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>		
Children in neglect investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Native American</b>		
Children in neglect investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>		
Children in neglect investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.22 Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court**

	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>		
Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court (%)	24.70	27.43
Total child population (%)	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.61	1.79
<b>White</b>		
Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court (%)	64.03	61.19
Total child population (%)	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.28	1.22
<b>Latinx</b>		
Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court (%)	7.90	9.19
Total child population (%)	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.32	0.37
<b>Asian</b>		
Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>		
Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court (%)	3.09	1.73
Total child population (%)	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.83	0.47
<b>Native American</b>		
Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>		
Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.23 Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court**

	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>		
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court (%)	36.23	32.88
Total child population (%)	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.37	2.15
<b>White</b>		
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court (%)	48.86	53.77
Total child population (%)	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.98	1.07
<b>Latinx</b>		
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court (%)	12.04	10.26
Total child population (%)	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.48	0.41
<b>Asian</b>		
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>		
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court (%)	2.06	2.16
Total child population (%)	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.56	0.58
<b>Native American</b>		
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>		
Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.24 Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court**

	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>
<b>Black</b>		
Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court (%)	31.78	34.01
Total child population (%)	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.08	2.22
<b>White</b>		
Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court (%)	50.70	50.88
Total child population (%)	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.01	1.02
<b>Latinx</b>		
Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court (%)	14.14	11.47
Total child population (%)	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.57	0.46
<b>Asian</b>		
Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>		
Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Native American</b>		
Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>		
Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.25 Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court**

	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>		
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court (%)	18.88	16.25
Total child population (%)	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.23	1.06
<b>White</b>		
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court (%)	66.23	70.18
Total child population (%)	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.32	1.40
<b>Latinx</b>		
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court (%)	12.17	9.60
Total child population (%)	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.49	0.38
<b>Asian</b>		
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>		
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court (%)	1.64	*
Total child population (%)	3.70	*
RDI	0.44	*
<b>Native American</b>		
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>		
Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.26 Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court**

	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>		
Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court (%)	18.49	*
Total child population (%)	15.31	*
RDI	1.21	*
<b>White</b>		
Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court (%)	69.18	67.29
Total child population (%)	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.38	1.34
<b>Latinx</b>		
Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Asian</b>		
Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>		
Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Native American</b>		
Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>		
Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.27 Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court**

	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>		
Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court (%)	21.21	22.51
Total child population (%)	15.31	15.31
RDI	1.39	1.47
<b>White</b>		
Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court (%)	68.82	65.12
Total child population (%)	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.37	1.30
<b>Latinx</b>		
Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court (%)	7.58	8.08
Total child population (%)	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.30	0.32
<b>Asian</b>		
Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>		
Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Native American</b>		
Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>		
Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court (%)	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*
RDI	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table E.28 Children in protective custodies**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in protective custodies (%)	37.92	37.47	32.07	34.76	34.48
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.47	2.45	2.09	2.27	2.25
<b>White</b>					
Children in protective custodies (%)	49.38	47.99	51.78	50.88	51.13
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.97	0.94	1.03	1.02	1.02
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in protective custodies (%)	9.60	11.49	12.58	11.52	11.03
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.39	0.47	0.51	0.46	0.44
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in protective custodies (%)	*	0.53	0.57	0.53	0.48
Total child population (%)	*	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	*	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.08
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in protective custodies (%)	2.44	2.24	2.55	2.08	2.51
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.70	0.63	0.70	0.56	0.68
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in protective custodies (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in protective custodies (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.29 Children involved in safety plans**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children involved in safety plans (%)	33.42	33.94	30.77	32.62	32.79
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.18	2.22	2.01	2.13	2.14
<b>White</b>					
Children involved in safety plans (%)	48.09	49.35	51.78	50.85	50.53
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	0.94	0.97	1.03	1.01	1.01
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children involved in safety plans (%)	15.31	13.59	13.67	13.45	12.95
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.62	0.55	0.55	0.54	0.52
<b>Asian</b>					
Children involved in safety plans (%)	0.64	0.69	0.70	0.62	0.61
Total child population (%)	5.47	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.11
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children involved in safety plans (%)	2.03	2.06	2.52	2.11	2.55
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.58	0.58	0.69	0.57	0.69
<b>Native American</b>					
Children involved in safety plans (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children involved in safety plans (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.30 Children who entered substitute care**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children entering substitute care (%)	36.29	36.92	32.10	33.48	34.17
Total child population (%)	15.33	15.29	15.31	15.31	15.31
RDI	2.37	2.42	2.10	2.19	2.23
<b>White</b>					
Children entering substitute care (%)	52.30	48.74	52.09	52.34	51.90
Total child population (%)	51.00	50.81	50.38	50.13	50.13
RDI	1.03	0.96	1.03	1.04	1.04
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children entering substitute care (%)	8.38	11.45	12.35	11.26	10.30
Total child population (%)	24.53	24.62	24.90	24.99	24.99
RDI	0.34	0.47	0.50	0.45	0.41
<b>Asian</b>					
Children entering substitute care (%)	*	0.35	0.47	0.45	0.38
Total child population (%)	*	5.54	5.62	5.70	5.70
RDI	*	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children entering substitute care (%)	2.50	2.25	2.64	2.37	2.81
Total child population (%)	3.50	3.57	3.63	3.70	3.70
RDI	0.71	0.63	0.73	0.64	0.76
<b>Native American</b>					
Children entering substitute care (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children entering substitute care (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Total child population (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.31 Children in kinship foster care placements**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in kinship foster care placements (%)	38.28	38.06	36.39	36.00	36.28
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	0.91	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.94
<b>White</b>					
Children in kinship foster care placements (%)	49.81	49.33	49.48	49.45	49.22
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	1.09	1.07	1.06	1.05	1.05
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in kinship foster care placements (%)	9.01	9.81	11.13	11.72	11.52
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	0.97	0.98	1.01	1.03	1.01
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in kinship foster care placements (%)	0.21	0.21	0.32	0.33	0.32
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	0.24	0.28	0.33	0.36	0.35
RDI	0.85	0.74	0.97	0.92	0.92
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in kinship foster care placements (%)	2.43	2.36	2.41	2.30	2.40
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	2.35	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	1.04	1.00	0.99	0.97	0.97
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in kinship foster care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in kinship foster care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.32 Children in traditional foster care placements**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in traditional foster care placements (%)	44.59	43.16	39.51	39.26	38.65
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	1.06	1.05	1.01	1.02	1.01
<b>White</b>					
Children in traditional foster care placements (%)	42.93	44.11	46.47	46.36	46.46
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	0.94	0.96	0.99	0.99	0.99
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in traditional foster care placements (%)	9.28	9.48	10.60	11.05	11.20
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	1.00	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.99
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in traditional foster care placements (%)	*	*	0.39	0.36	0.47
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	0.33	0.36	0.35
RDI	*	*	1.16	1.02	1.35
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in traditional foster care placements (%)	2.46	2.42	2.60	2.63	2.85
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	2.35	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	1.05	1.03	1.07	1.11	1.15
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in traditional foster care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in traditional foster care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.33 Children in specialized foster care placements**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in specialized foster care placements (%)	53.82	53.77	52.55	49.68	50.01
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	1.28	1.31	1.34	1.29	1.30
<b>White</b>					
Children in specialized foster care placements (%)	31.94	31.82	33.22	36.04	35.14
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	0.70	0.69	0.71	0.77	0.75
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in specialized foster care placements (%)	11.21	11.05	10.99	10.88	11.67
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	1.21	1.11	1.00	0.96	1.03
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in specialized foster care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in specialized foster care placements (%)	2.48	2.69	2.63	2.77	2.61
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	2.35	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	1.05	1.14	1.08	1.17	1.06
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in specialized foster care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in specialized foster care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.34 Children in congregate care placements**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in congregate care placements (%)	48.64	49.00	47.82	48.33	48.11
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	1.16	1.19	1.22	1.25	1.25
<b>White</b>					
Children in congregate care placements (%)	41.59	40.47	41.01	41.03	40.93
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	0.91	0.88	0.88	0.87	0.87
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in congregate care placements (%)	7.88	8.35	8.66	8.23	8.25
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	0.85	0.84	0.79	0.72	0.73
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in congregate care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in congregate care placements (%)	1.42	1.47	1.96	1.94	2.30
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	2.35	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	0.61	0.63	0.81	0.82	0.93
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in congregate care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in congregate care placements (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.35 Children in substitute care less than 12 months before exiting**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in care less than 12 months (%)	35.79	34.04	30.33	29.99	38.36
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	0.85	0.83	0.78	0.78	1.00
<b>White</b>					
Children in care less than 12 months (%)	54.23	53.85	55.11	54.40	49.08
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	1.18	1.17	1.18	1.16	1.04
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in care less than 12 months (%)	7.27	9.23	10.14	11.94	9.10
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	0.78	0.93	0.92	1.05	0.80
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in care less than 12 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in care less than 12 months (%)	*	2.02	3.09	2.88	2.54
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	*	0.86	1.27	1.22	1.03
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in care less than 12 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in care less than 12 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table E.36 Children in substitute care 12-23 months before exiting**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in care 12-23 months (%)	35.34	33.05	34.62	29.20	30.45
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	0.84	0.81	0.89	0.76	0.79
<b>White</b>					
Children in care 12-23 months (%)	51.31	58.36	54.16	55.00	57.08
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	1.12	1.27	1.16	1.17	1.21
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in care 12-23 months (%)	9.24	6.26	7.98	11.69	9.54
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	1.00	0.63	0.73	1.03	0.84
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in care 12-23 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in care 12-23 months (%)	3.72	*	2.55	3.05	2.15
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	2.35	*	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	1.58	*	1.04	1.29	0.87
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in care 12-23 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in care 12-23 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.37 Children in substitute care 24-35 months before exiting**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in care 24-35 months (%)	31.83	30.88	34.11	30.99	29.13
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	0.76	0.75	0.87	0.80	0.76
<b>White</b>					
Children in care 24-35 months (%)	58.55	58.02	55.11	55.85	53.76
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	1.28	1.26	1.18	1.19	1.14
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in care 24-35 months (%)	7.17	8.13	7.40	9.44	13.11
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	0.77	0.82	0.67	0.83	1.15
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in care 24-35 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in care 24-35 months (%)	*	2.31	3.00	2.91	2.50
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	*	0.98	1.23	1.23	1.01
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in care 24-35 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in care 24-35 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.38 Children in substitute care 36-47 months before exiting**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in care 36-47 months (%)	33.23	35.99	35.42	30.26	29.72
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	0.79	0.88	0.91	0.78	0.77
<b>White</b>					
Children in care 36-47 months (%)	51.81	51.90	55.27	59.46	58.98
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	1.13	1.13	1.18	1.26	1.25
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in care 36-47 months (%)	11.48	9.40	6.41	7.71	8.81
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	1.24	0.94	0.58	0.68	0.78
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in care 36-47 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in care 36-47 months (%)	3.32	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	2.35	*	*	*	*
RDI	1.41	*	*	*	*
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in care 36-47 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in care 36-47 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.39 Children in substitute care 48-59 months before exiting**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in care 48-59 months (%)	44.26	51.04	43.67	37.93	33.76
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	1.05	1.24	1.12	0.98	0.88
<b>White</b>					
Children in care 48-59 months (%)	42.58	36.20	42.05	47.26	52.09
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	0.93	0.79	0.90	1.00	1.11
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in care 48-59 months (%)	10.29	9.50	10.78	11.77	11.07
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	1.11	0.95	0.98	1.03	0.98
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in care 48-59 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in care 48-59 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in care 48-59 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in care 48-59 months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.40 Children in substitute care 60 or more months before exiting**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children in care 60 or more months (%)	53.97	53.17	53.31	55.80	51.55
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	1.28	1.29	1.36	1.45	1.34
<b>White</b>					
Children in care 60 or more months (%)	32.11	31.65	29.87	32.00	36.91
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	0.70	0.69	0.64	0.68	0.78
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children in care 60 or more months (%)	12.19	10.89	14.75	9.60	8.94
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	1.32	1.09	1.34	0.84	0.79
<b>Asian</b>					
Children in care 60 or more months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children in care 60 or more months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Native American</b>					
Children in care 60 or more months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children in care 60 or more months (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.41 Children who achieved permanence**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children who achieved permanence (%)	37.28	36.46	36.08	33.03	34.07
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.86	0.89
<b>White</b>					
Children who achieved permanence (%)	50.61	52.18	51.46	53.03	52.54
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	1.10	1.13	1.10	1.13	1.12
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children who achieved permanence (%)	9.13	8.45	9.05	10.47	10.23
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	0.99	0.85	0.82	0.92	0.90
<b>Asian</b>					
Children who achieved permanence (%)	*	*	0.41	0.43	0.50
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	0.33	0.36	0.35
RDI	*	*	1.24	1.20	1.43
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children who achieved permanence (%)	2.45	2.25	2.68	2.75	2.38
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	2.35	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	1.04	0.96	1.10	1.16	0.96
<b>Native American</b>					
Children who achieved permanence (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children who achieved permanence (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.42 Children who achieved permanence through reunification**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children who achieved reunification (%)	38.00	36.31	35.88	32.86	35.75
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	0.91	0.88	0.92	0.85	0.93
<b>White</b>					
Children who achieved reunification (%)	50.35	52.01	51.43	51.46	48.93
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	1.10	1.13	1.10	1.09	1.04
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children who achieved reunification (%)	8.89	9.00	9.33	12.24	12.12
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	0.96	0.90	0.85	1.07	1.07
<b>Asian</b>					
Children who achieved reunification (%)	*	*	*	0.65	0.70
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	0.36	0.35
RDI	*	*	*	1.84	2.00
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children who achieved reunification (%)	2.23	1.97	2.56	2.58	2.44
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	2.35	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	0.95	0.84	1.05	1.09	0.99
<b>Native American</b>					
Children who achieved reunification (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children who achieved reunification (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table E.43 Children who achieved permanence through adoption**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children who achieved adoption (%)	34.67	35.23	35.11	32.01	29.35
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	0.83	0.86	0.90	0.83	0.76
<b>White</b>					
Children who achieved adoption (%)	52.75	53.78	53.27	57.50	59.94
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	1.15	1.17	1.14	1.22	1.27
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children who achieved adoption (%)	9.13	7.29	8.21	6.82	7.52
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	0.98	0.73	0.75	0.60	0.66
<b>Asian</b>					
Children who achieved adoption (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children who achieved adoption (%)	2.92	3.05	2.94	3.20	2.32
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	2.35	2.35	2.44	2.37	2.47
RDI	1.24	1.30	1.20	1.35	0.94
<b>Native American</b>					
Children who achieved adoption (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children who achieved adoption (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table E.44 Children who achieved permanence through guardianship**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>Black</b>					
Children who achieved guardianship (%)	45.34	43.79	41.90	38.36	43.48
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	42.01	41.08	39.13	38.57	38.44
RDI	1.08	1.07	1.07	0.99	1.13
<b>White</b>					
Children who achieved guardianship (%)	42.07	45.75	43.96	48.40	44.39
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	45.83	46.01	46.79	47.06	47.09
RDI	0.92	1.00	0.94	1.03	0.94
<b>Latinx</b>					
Children who achieved guardianship (%)	10.58	9.80	10.28	10.27	9.38
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	9.27	9.98	11.01	11.39	11.36
RDI	1.14	0.98	0.93	0.90	0.83
<b>Asian</b>					
Children who achieved guardianship (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Multiracial</b>					
Children who achieved guardianship (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Native American</b>					
Children who achieved guardianship (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Pacific Islander</b>					
Children who achieved guardianship (%)	*	*	*	*	*
Children in substitute care during the year (%)	*	*	*	*	*
RDI	*	*	*	*	*

*Note.* If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

## **Appendix F: Children in the Illinois Child Welfare System**

Appendix F provides data for children ages 0–17 involved in the Illinois child welfare system in the following areas:

- Investigations;
- Investigations by maltreatment type;
- Indicated investigations;
- Indicated investigations by maltreatment type;
- Protective custodies;
- Safety plans;
- Substitute care entries;
- Substitute care stays during the year;
- Length of stay in a substitute care; and
- Exit to legal permanence.

Definitions for each of these indicators can be found in Appendix D. The data used in this appendix come from two Illinois DCFS data systems: the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS) and the Child and Youth Centered Information System (CYSIS). Both the SACWIS and the CYSIS data were extracted on September 30, 2023. Note that the percentages in this appendix are rounded to two decimal places for display purposes.

**Table F.1 Children in investigations**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
Children in investigations	114,443		108,705		116,569		122,622		122,371	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Black	37,247	32.55	35,322	32.49	37,400	32.08	39,937	32.57	40,287	32.92
White	51,709	45.18	49,556	45.59	52,988	45.46	53,704	43.80	52,358	42.79
Latinx	20,968	18.32	19,457	17.90	21,019	18.03	23,251	18.96	23,687	19.36
Asian	1,552	1.36	1,420	1.31	1,627	1.40	1,885	1.54	1,848	1.51
Multiracial	1,481	1.29	1,533	1.41	1,766	1.52	1,807	1.47	1,969	1.61
Native American	104	0.09	90	0.08	97	0.08	78	0.06	103	0.08
Pacific Islander	57	0.05	61	0.06	67	0.06	67	0.06	66	0.05
Other <sup>1</sup>	1,325	1.16	1,266	1.17	1,606	1.38	1,892	1.54	2,053	1.68

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.

**Table F.2 Children in investigations by maltreatment type**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Risk of harm	66,261	43.67	65,811	45.42	74,146	46.54	76,047	46.27	75,664	46.61
Neglect	7,259	4.78	6,574	4.54	6,859	4.31	7,545	4.59	7,759	4.78
Environmental neglect	14,703	9.69	14,284	9.86	16,051	10.08	17,382	10.58	17,888	11.02
Lack of supervision/lock out	25,043	16.50	23,443	16.18	26,624	16.71	25,443	15.48	24,793	15.27
Physical abuse	14,114	9.30	12,338	8.52	10,748	6.75	12,842	7.81	12,902	7.95
Sexual abuse/human trafficking	19,188	12.65	17,372	11.99	17,938	11.26	17,930	10.91	16,612	10.23
Emotional abuse	1,875	1.24	1,822	1.26	2,521	1.58	2,831	1.72	2,406	1.48
Substance exposure	3,292	2.17	3,252	2.24	4,422	2.78	4,334	2.64	4,270	2.63

**Table F.3 Children in investigations by maltreatment type and race/ethnicity (FY2023 only)**

	Risk of harm		Neglect		Environmental neglect		Lack of supervision /lock out	
Children in investigations	75,664		7,759		17,888		24,793	
	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
Black	24,657	32.59	2,628	33.87	5,939	33.20	10,079	40.65
White	32,598	43.08	3,502	45.14	9,024	50.45	9,546	38.50
Latinx	14,739	19.48	1,268	16.34	2,335	13.05	4,123	16.63
Asian	1,172	1.55	136	1.75	88	0.49	245	0.99
Multiracial	1,311	1.73	134	1.73	300	1.68	398	1.61
Native American	57	0.08	4	0.05	11	0.06	17	0.07
Pacific Islander	48	0.06	6	0.08	6	0.03	12	0.05
Other <sup>1</sup>	1,082	1.43	81	1.04	185	1.03	373	1.50
	Physical abuse		Sexual abuse/ human trafficking		Emotional abuse		Substance exposure	
Children in investigations	12,902		16,612		2,406		4,270	
	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
Black	4,427	34.31	4,403	26.51	542	22.53	1,153	27.00
White	5,310	41.16	7,494	45.11	1,248	51.87	2,390	55.97
Latinx	2,612	20.25	3,801	22.88	460	19.12	589	13.79
Asian	246	1.91	201	1.21	88	3.66	14	0.33
Multiracial	203	1.57	226	1.36	37	1.54	69	1.62
Native American	6	0.05	14	0.08	2	0.08	5	0.12
Pacific Islander	0	0.00	7	0.04	0	0.00	1	0.02
Other <sup>1</sup>	98	0.76	466	2.81	29	1.21	49	1.15

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.

**Table F.4 Children in indicated investigations**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
Children in indicated investigations	33,821		36,047		38,173		33,065		31,743	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Black	11,287	33.37	12,379	34.34	12,664	33.18	10,852	32.82	10,330	32.54
White	15,467	45.73	15,888	44.08	16,617	43.53	14,476	43.78	14,028	44.19
Latinx	6,023	17.81	6,647	18.44	7,474	19.58	6,554	19.82	6,120	19.28
Asian	313	0.93	352	0.98	437	1.14	375	1.13	354	1.12
Multiracial	512	1.51	576	1.60	689	1.80	553	1.67	603	1.90
Native American	35	0.10	26	0.07	39	0.10	14	0.04	20	0.06
Pacific Islander	6	0.02	17	0.05	20	0.05	12	0.04	16	0.05
Other <sup>1</sup>	178	0.53	162	0.45	233	0.61	229	0.69	272	0.86

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.

**Table F.5 Children in indicated investigations by maltreatment type**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Risk of harm	20,922	52.63	23,336	55.12	26,002	58.15	21,856	57.32	21,392	58.23
Neglect	1,329	3.34	1,432	3.38	1,409	3.15	1,246	3.27	1,177	3.20
Environmental neglect	2,791	7.02	2,718	6.42	3,004	6.72	2,770	7.27	2,784	7.58
Lack of supervision/ lock out	5,946	14.96	6,003	14.18	5,945	13.29	4,677	12.27	4,585	12.48
Physical abuse	2,710	6.82	2,591	6.12	2,170	4.85	2,198	5.76	2,155	5.87
Sexual abuse/ human trafficking	4,726	11.89	4,877	11.52	4,655	10.41	3,990	10.47	3,373	9.18
Emotional abuse	180	0.45	196	0.46	204	0.46	209	0.55	166	0.45
Substance exposure	1,152	2.90	1,182	2.79	1,330	2.97	1,179	3.09	1,084	2.95

**Table F.6 Children in indicated investigations by maltreatment type and race/ethnicity (FY2023 only)**

	Risk of harm		Neglect		Environmental neglect		Lack of supervision /lock out	
Children in indicated investigations	21,392		1,177		2,784		4,585	
	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
Black	6,846	32.00	477	40.53	711	25.54	1,993	43.47
White	9,495	44.39	464	39.42	1,702	61.14	1,705	37.19
Latinx	4,185	19.56	188	15.97	302	10.85	715	15.59
Asian	243	1.14	14	1.19	8	0.29	44	0.96
Multiracial	436	2.04	21	1.78	50	1.80	94	2.05
Native American	10	0.05	1	0.09	4	0.14	1	0.02
Pacific Islander	15	0.07	0	0.00	1	0.04	1	0.02
Other <sup>1</sup>	162	0.76	12	1.02	6	0.22	32	0.70
	Physical abuse		Sexual abuse/ human trafficking		Emotional abuse		Substance exposure	
Children in indicated investigations	2,155		3,373		166		1,084	
	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
Black	830	38.52	739	21.91	27	16.27	277	25.55
White	846	39.26	1,550	45.95	101	60.84	652	60.15
Latinx	401	18.61	954	28.28	28	16.87	115	10.61
Asian	30	1.39	33	0.98	6	3.61	1	0.09
Multiracial	39	1.81	39	1.16	4	2.41	25	2.31
Native American	0	0.00	3	0.09	0	0.00	2	0.19
Pacific Islander	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.09
Other <sup>1</sup>	9	0.42	55	1.63	0	0.00	11	1.01

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.

**Table F.7 Children in protective custodies**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
Children in protective custodies	6,237		7,198		7,138		5,875		5,423	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Black	2,365	37.92	2,697	37.47	2,289	32.07	2,042	34.76	1,870	34.48
White	3,080	49.38	3,454	47.99	3,696	51.78	2,989	50.88	2,773	51.13
Latinx	599	9.60	827	11.49	898	12.58	677	11.52	598	11.03
Asian	19	0.31	38	0.53	41	0.57	31	0.53	26	0.48
Multiracial	152	2.44	161	2.24	182	2.55	122	2.08	136	2.51
Native American	5	0.08	4	0.06	10	0.14	2	0.03	1	0.02
Pacific Islander	1	0.02	0	0.00	7	0.10	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other <sup>1</sup>	16	0.26	17	0.24	15	0.21	12	0.20	19	0.35

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.

**Table F.8 Children involved in safety plans**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
Children involved in safety plans	13,222		11,000		10,650		9,197		9,078	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Black	4,419	33.42	3,733	33.94	3,277	30.77	3,000	32.62	2,977	32.79
White	6,358	48.09	5,428	49.35	5,515	51.78	4,677	50.85	4,587	50.53
Latinx	2,024	15.31	1,495	13.59	1,456	13.67	1,237	13.45	1,176	12.95
Asian	85	0.64	76	0.69	75	0.70	57	0.62	55	0.61
Multiracial	269	2.03	226	2.06	268	2.52	194	2.11	231	2.55
Native American	14	0.11	6	0.06	12	0.11	5	0.05	4	0.04
Pacific Islander	3	0.02	5	0.05	6	0.06	1	0.01	1	0.01
Other <sup>1</sup>	50	0.38	31	0.28	41	0.38	26	0.28	47	0.52

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.

**Table F.9 Children who entered substitute care**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
Children entering substitute care	6,448		7,380		7,088		5,737		5,561	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Black	2,340	36.29	2,725	36.92	2,275	32.10	1,921	33.48	1,900	34.17
White	3,372	52.30	3,597	48.74	3,692	52.09	3,003	52.34	2,886	51.90
Latinx	540	8.38	845	11.45	875	12.35	646	11.26	573	10.30
Asian	16	0.25	26	0.35	33	0.47	26	0.45	21	0.38
Multiracial	161	2.50	166	2.25	187	2.64	136	2.37	156	2.81
Native American	4	0.06	7	0.10	9	0.13	2	0.04	3	0.05
Pacific Islander	0	0.00	1	0.01	7	0.10	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other <sup>1</sup>	15	0.23	13	0.18	10	0.14	3	0.05	22	0.40

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.

**Table F.10 Children in substitute care during the year**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
Children in substitute care during the year	20,874		22,956		24,975		24,531		23,968	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Black	8,770	42.01	9,431	41.08	9,773	39.13	9,462	38.57	9,214	38.44
White	9,567	45.83	10,561	46.01	11,685	46.79	11,544	47.06	11,287	47.09
Latinx	1,935	9.27	2,291	9.98	2,749	11.01	2,795	11.39	2,722	11.36
Asian	50	0.24	64	0.28	83	0.33	87	0.36	84	0.35
Multiracial	491	2.35	540	2.35	609	2.44	581	2.37	593	2.47
Native American	24	0.12	30	0.13	31	0.12	27	0.11	26	0.11
Pacific Islander	5	0.02	4	0.02	9	0.04	7	0.03	4	0.02
Other <sup>1</sup>	32	0.15	35	0.15	36	0.14	28	0.11	38	0.16

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.



**Table F.11 Length of stay in substitute care**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Less than 12 months</b>										
Black	330	35.79	354	34.04	383	30.33	344	29.99	333	38.36
White	500	54.23	560	53.85	696	55.11	624	54.40	426	49.08
Latinx	67	7.27	96	9.23	128	10.14	137	11.94	79	9.10
Asian	8	0.87	3	0.29	12	0.95	8	0.70	8	0.92
Multiracial	16	1.74	21	2.02	39	3.09	33	2.88	22	2.54
Native American	0	0.00	2	0.19	0	0.00	1	0.09	0	0.00
Pacific Islander	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.08	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other <sup>1</sup>	1	0.11	4	0.38	4	0.32	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>12-23 months</b>										
Black	352	35.34	354	33.05	503	34.62	412	29.20	383	30.45
White	511	51.31	625	58.36	787	54.16	776	55.00	718	57.08
Latinx	92	9.24	67	6.26	116	7.98	165	11.69	120	9.54
Asian	2	0.20	4	0.37	6	0.41	12	0.85	6	0.48
Multiracial	37	3.72	19	1.77	37	2.55	43	3.05	27	2.15
Native American	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.07	1	0.08
Pacific Islander	1	0.10	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	0.16
Other <sup>1</sup>	1	0.10	2	0.19	4	0.28	2	0.14	1	0.08
<b>24-35 months</b>										
Black	324	31.83	281	30.88	364	34.11	384	30.99	349	29.13
White	596	58.55	528	58.02	588	55.11	692	55.85	644	53.76
Latinx	73	7.17	74	8.13	79	7.40	117	9.44	157	13.11
Asian	3	0.30	2	0.22	1	0.09	3	0.24	12	1.00
Multiracial	20	1.97	21	2.31	32	3.00	36	2.91	30	2.50
Native American	0	0.00	2	0.22	2	0.19	0	0.00	5	0.42
Pacific Islander	0	0.00	1	0.11	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other <sup>1</sup>	2	0.20	1	0.11	1	0.09	7	0.56	1	0.08
<b>36-47 months</b>										
Black	220	33.23	199	35.99	232	35.42	259	30.26	263	29.72
White	343	51.81	287	51.90	362	55.27	509	59.46	522	58.98
Latinx	76	11.48	52	9.40	42	6.41	66	7.71	78	8.81
Asian	1	0.15	0	0.00	1	0.15	1	0.12	0	0.00
Multiracial	22	3.32	15	2.71	16	2.44	18	2.10	20	2.26
Native American	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.15	0	0.00	1	0.11
Pacific Islander	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.12	0	0.00
Other <sup>1</sup>	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.15	2	0.23	1	0.11
<b>48-59 months</b>										
Black	185	44.26	172	51.04	162	43.67	187	37.93	186	33.76
White	178	42.58	122	36.20	156	42.05	233	47.26	287	52.09
Latinx	43	10.29	32	9.50	40	10.78	58	11.77	61	11.07
Asian	1	0.24	2	0.59	1	0.27	0	0.00	1	0.18
Multiracial	9	2.15	8	2.37	11	2.97	14	2.84	14	2.54
Native American	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Pacific Islander	1	0.24	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other <sup>1</sup>	1	0.24	1	0.30	1	0.27	1	0.20	2	0.36

(continues)

**Table F.11 (continued)**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>60 or more months</b>										
Black	279	53.97	210	53.17	0	53.31	279	55.80	317	51.55
White	166	32.11	125	31.65	282	29.87	160	32.00	227	36.91
Latinx	63	12.19	43	10.89	158	14.75	48	9.60	55	8.94
Asian	0	0.00	1	0.25	78	0.19	0	0.00	0	0.00
Multiracial	7	1.35	13	3.29	1	1.51	11	2.20	15	2.44
Native American	1	0.19	3	0.76	8	0.38	2	0.40	1	0.16
Pacific Islander	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other <sup>1</sup>	1	0.19	0	0.00	2	0.38	0	0.00	0	0.00

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.

**Table F.12 Children who achieved permanence**

	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Children in who achieved permanence	4,533		4,306		5,338		5,646		5,375	
Black	1,690	37.28	1,570	36.46	1,926	36.08	1,865	33.03	1,831	34.07
White	2,294	50.61	2,247	52.18	2,747	51.46	2,994	53.03	2,824	52.54
Latinx	414	9.13	364	8.45	483	9.05	591	10.47	550	10.23
Asian	15	0.33	12	0.28	22	0.41	24	0.43	27	0.50
Multiracial	111	2.45	97	2.25	143	2.68	155	2.75	128	2.38
Native American	1	0.02	7	0.16	5	0.09	4	0.07	8	0.15
Pacific Islander	2	0.04	1	0.02	1	0.02	1	0.02	2	0.04
Other <sup>1</sup>	6	0.13	8	0.19	11	0.21	12	0.21	5	0.09

<sup>1</sup>"Other" includes children whose primary race value was "could not be verified," "unknown," "declined to identify," or missing.

## Appendix G: Racial/Ethnic Disproportionality Data (Subregion and Cook Region)

Appendix G provides the FY2023 data for the racial/ethnic disproportionality analyses for each subregion and the Cook region. The following subregions were included in the report: Aurora (2A), Rockford (1A), Champaign (3B), Peoria (1B), Springfield (3A), East St. Louis (4A), and Marion (5A). The Cook region included Cook North, Cook Central and Cook South subregions. Five racial/ethnic groups were included in the analysis: Black, White, Latinx, Asian, and Multiracial.<sup>24</sup> The subregion and Cook region racial/ethnic disproportionality data for the past five years (FY2019–FY2023) are available at the following website:

[https://go.illinois.edu/subregion\\_details](https://go.illinois.edu/subregion_details)

The data used in this appendix comes from three sources. Illinois child population data (ages 0–19)<sup>25</sup> was obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau.<sup>26</sup> The 2022 Illinois child population data was used to calculate RDIs in FY2023. Child welfare data was obtained from the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS) and the Child and Youth Centered Information System (CYCIS). Both the SACWIS data and the CYSIS data were extracted on September 30, 2023.

The numbers in this appendix are rounded to two decimal places for display purposes. If the number of children in a racial/ethnic group for an indicator was 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI was less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) because percentages based on small numbers are unreliable.

---

<sup>24</sup> Due to small number of Native American and Pacific Islander children at the subregion/region-level, these groups are not included in the data tables.

<sup>25</sup> The child population data with ages 0-19 was used because we needed data with seven racial/ethnic groups for the subregion- and the region-level analyses. We could not find the child population data with ages 0-17 that included the seven racial/ethnic categories at the county level. We needed the county-level data so we could aggregate it to create the subregion-level child population data. We compared the racial distributions of children with ages 0-19 with that with ages 0-17 at the state level and verified that both distributions were very similar.

<sup>26</sup> The data source for the Illinois child population from FY2022 was the following:

U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division. (2023). CC-EST2022-ALLDATA-17: Annual county resident population estimates by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: April 1, 2020 to July 1, 2022. Retrieved from <https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/datasets/2020-2022/counties/asrh/>

**Table G.1 Children in investigations (all maltreatment types) by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in investigated reports (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	23.76	3.09
White	52.26	38.05	0.73
Latinx	28.56	32.30	1.13
Asian	7.92	2.75	0.35
Multiracial	3.42	1.36	0.40
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	30.59	2.58
White	60.23	50.05	0.83
Latinx	20.94	14.35	0.69
Asian	1.83	0.67	0.37
Multiracial	4.95	2.06	0.42
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	33.24	2.61
White	69.78	55.78	0.80
Latinx	7.81	6.67	0.85
Asian	4.20	0.56	0.13
Multiracial	5.24	2.14	0.41
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	25.64	2.43
White	71.33	62.45	0.88
Latinx	10.98	7.71	0.70
Asian	2.32	0.55	0.24
Multiracial	4.55	2.26	0.50
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	23.95	2.67
White	80.77	68.40	0.85
Latinx	4.20	3.38	0.80
Asian	1.33	0.24	0.18
Multiracial	4.49	2.46	0.55
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	40.62	2.06
White	67.97	51.68	0.76
Latinx	6.15	3.68	0.60
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.84	2.06	0.43
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	13.23	2.26
White	85.29	80.82	0.95
Latinx	4.17	2.54	0.61
Asian	0.86	0.24	0.28
Multiracial	3.63	2.20	0.61
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	48.13	2.03
White	31.02	15.07	0.49
Latinx	35.00	31.33	0.90
Asian	7.00	2.42	0.35
Multiracial	3.11	0.80	0.26

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.2 Children in risk of harm investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in risk of harm investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	23.46	3.05
White	52.26	38.16	0.73
Latinx	28.56	32.73	1.15
Asian	7.92	2.74	0.35
Multiracial	3.42	1.40	0.41
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	32.81	2.76
White	60.23	48.93	0.81
Latinx	20.94	13.90	0.66
Asian	1.83	0.59	0.32
Multiracial	4.95	1.86	0.38
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	35.60	2.80
White	69.78	53.53	0.77
Latinx	7.81	6.80	0.87
Asian	4.20	0.55	0.13
Multiracial	5.24	2.37	0.45
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	26.08	2.47
White	71.33	61.51	0.86
Latinx	10.98	8.04	0.73
Asian	2.32	0.60	0.26
Multiracial	4.55	2.57	0.56
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	25.34	2.83
White	80.77	66.69	0.83
Latinx	4.20	3.60	0.86
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	2.82	0.63
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	40.50	2.05
White	67.97	51.66	0.76
Latinx	6.15	3.79	0.62
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.84	2.03	0.42
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	13.02	2.23
White	85.29	80.79	0.95
Latinx	4.17	2.53	0.61
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	2.45	0.68
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	46.58	1.96
White	31.02	16.18	0.52
Latinx	35.00	31.80	0.91
Asian	7.00	2.63	0.38
Multiracial	3.11	0.86	0.28

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.3 Children in neglect investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in neglect investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	24.79	3.23
White	52.26	42.48	0.81
Latinx	28.56	27.11	0.95
Asian	7.92	3.29	0.42
Multiracial	3.42	1.55	0.45
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	29.76	2.51
White	60.23	54.25	0.90
Latinx	20.94	10.20	0.49
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	27.97	2.20
White	69.78	63.56	0.91
Latinx	7.81	4.52	0.58
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	26.24	2.49
White	71.33	61.85	0.87
Latinx	10.98	8.05	0.73
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	2.43	0.53
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	23.27	2.60
White	80.77	70.52	0.87
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	37.83	1.92
White	67.97	56.27	0.83
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	13.77	2.36
White	85.29	81.41	0.95
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	52.33	2.21
White	31.02	14.90	0.48
Latinx	35.00	27.75	0.79
Asian	7.00	2.70	0.39
Multiracial	3.11	0.94	0.30

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.4 Children in environmental neglect investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in environmental neglect investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	26.90	3.50
White	52.26	43.14	0.83
Latinx	28.56	26.30	0.92
Asian	7.92	0.84	0.11
Multiracial	3.42	2.03	0.59
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	26.96	2.27
White	60.23	58.26	0.97
Latinx	20.94	10.51	0.50
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.95	2.44	0.49
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	28.10	2.21
White	69.78	63.86	0.92
Latinx	7.81	5.50	0.71
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	1.59	0.30
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	22.72	2.15
White	71.33	67.31	0.94
Latinx	10.98	7.30	0.67
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	1.72	0.38
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	19.74	2.20
White	80.77	74.25	0.92
Latinx	4.20	3.07	0.73
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	2.02	0.45
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	37.19	1.88
White	67.97	57.12	0.84
Latinx	6.15	2.59	0.42
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.84	1.94	0.40
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	9.19	1.57
White	85.29	86.15	1.01
Latinx	4.17	2.11	0.51
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	1.97	0.54
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	59.77	2.52
White	31.02	11.85	0.38
Latinx	35.00	24.39	0.70
Asian	7.00	1.28	0.18
Multiracial	3.11	0.95	0.31

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.5 Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	32.50	4.23
White	52.26	34.05	0.65
Latinx	28.56	28.85	1.01
Asian	7.92	1.69	0.21
Multiracial	3.42	1.45	0.42
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	36.28	3.06
White	60.23	45.15	0.75
Latinx	20.94	13.21	0.63
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.95	2.48	0.50
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	39.76	3.12
White	69.78	49.40	0.71
Latinx	7.81	6.55	0.84
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	2.02	0.39
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	31.46	2.98
White	71.33	57.35	0.80
Latinx	10.98	7.65	0.70
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	1.93	0.42
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	29.96	3.34
White	80.77	63.33	0.78
Latinx	4.20	2.92	0.70
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	2.16	0.48
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	47.55	2.41
White	67.97	45.80	0.67
Latinx	6.15	2.84	0.46
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.84	2.48	0.51
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	16.64	2.85
White	85.29	77.52	0.91
Latinx	4.17	2.17	0.52
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	2.53	0.70
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	57.46	2.42
White	31.02	11.48	0.37
Latinx	35.00	26.81	0.77
Asian	7.00	1.52	0.22
Multiracial	3.11	0.67	0.22

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table G.6 Children in physical abuse investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in physical abuse investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	24.17	3.14
White	52.26	37.71	0.72
Latinx	28.56	31.83	1.11
Asian	7.92	3.88	0.49
Multiracial	3.42	1.55	0.45
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	28.15	2.37
White	60.23	52.48	0.87
Latinx	20.94	14.87	0.71
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.95	2.70	0.55
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	30.33	2.38
White	69.78	59.02	0.85
Latinx	7.81	7.20	0.92
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	1.91	0.37
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	26.05	2.47
White	71.33	63.29	0.89
Latinx	10.98	7.01	0.64
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	2.67	0.59
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	21.58	2.41
White	80.77	72.83	0.90
Latinx	4.20	2.51	0.60
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	40.97	2.08
White	67.97	50.83	0.75
Latinx	6.15	4.87	0.79
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	11.81	2.02
White	85.29	82.17	0.96
Latinx	4.17	3.78	0.91
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	50.88	2.14
White	31.02	13.59	0.44
Latinx	35.00	31.29	0.89
Asian	7.00	2.61	0.37
Multiracial	3.11	0.73	0.24

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.7 Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	18.89	2.46
White	52.26	36.46	0.70
Latinx	28.56	37.96	1.33
Asian	7.92	2.20	0.28
Multiracial	3.42	1.21	0.35
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	19.30	1.63
White	60.23	56.12	0.93
Latinx	20.94	18.05	0.86
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.95	1.97	0.40
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	21.86	1.72
White	69.78	65.08	0.93
Latinx	7.81	7.25	0.93
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	1.92	0.37
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	18.06	1.71
White	71.33	69.20	0.97
Latinx	10.98	7.60	0.69
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	2.46	0.54
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	19.72	2.20
White	80.77	72.58	0.90
Latinx	4.20	3.14	0.75
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	1.81	0.40
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	34.47	1.75
White	67.97	57.64	0.85
Latinx	6.15	3.90	0.63
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	10.91	1.87
White	85.29	84.90	1.00
Latinx	4.17	1.88	0.45
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	41.75	1.76
White	31.02	13.43	0.43
Latinx	35.00	38.86	1.11
Asian	7.00	1.83	0.26
Multiracial	3.11	0.51	0.16

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.8 Children in emotional abuse investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in emotional abuse investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	14.00	1.82
White	52.26	49.17	0.94
Latinx	28.56	25.67	0.90
Asian	7.92	7.33	0.93
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	17.88	1.51
White	60.23	62.25	1.03
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	14.57	1.15
White	69.78	71.36	1.02
Latinx	7.81	10.55	1.35
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	17.31	1.64
White	71.33	74.21	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	17.11	1.91
White	80.77	78.95	0.98
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	26.19	1.33
White	67.97	69.05	1.02
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	85.29	84.67	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	37.06	1.56
White	31.02	21.68	0.70
Latinx	35.00	33.57	0.96
Asian	7.00	4.90	0.70
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.9 Children in substance exposure investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in substance exposure investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	20.34	2.65
White	52.26	52.05	1.00
Latinx	28.56	24.70	0.87
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	21.77	1.83
White	60.23	65.05	1.08
Latinx	20.94	9.68	0.46
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	23.73	1.86
White	69.78	67.63	0.97
Latinx	7.81	5.54	0.71
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	19.04	1.81
White	71.33	70.50	0.99
Latinx	10.98	6.90	0.63
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	17.57	1.96
White	80.77	78.38	0.97
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	31.41	1.59
White	67.97	61.78	0.91
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	8.49	1.45
White	85.29	86.79	1.02
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	48.21	2.03
White	31.02	20.85	0.67
Latinx	35.00	27.45	0.78
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.10 Children in indicated investigations (all maltreatment types) by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total Child Population (%)	Children in indicated investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	24.69	3.21
White	52.26	35.13	0.67
Latinx	28.56	35.66	1.25
Asian	7.92	2.18	0.28
Multiracial	3.42	1.34	0.39
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	35.63	3.00
White	60.23	47.19	0.78
Latinx	20.94	13.42	0.64
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.95	2.24	0.45
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	36.47	2.86
White	69.78	53.37	0.77
Latinx	7.81	6.79	0.87
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	2.30	0.44
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	26.59	2.52
White	71.33	59.43	0.83
Latinx	10.98	9.34	0.85
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	3.06	0.67
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	25.40	2.83
White	80.77	67.06	0.83
Latinx	4.20	3.65	0.87
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	2.91	0.65
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	38.55	1.95
White	67.97	53.80	0.79
Latinx	6.15	4.00	0.65
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.84	2.52	0.52
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	13.90	2.38
White	85.29	80.79	0.95
Latinx	4.17	2.31	0.55
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	2.37	0.65
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	48.69	2.05
White	31.02	13.25	0.43
Latinx	35.00	33.98	0.97
Asian	7.00	2.08	0.30
Multiracial	3.11	0.83	0.27

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.11 Children in indicated risk of harm investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in indicated risk of harm investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	24.96	3.25
White	52.26	35.38	0.68
Latinx	28.56	35.52	1.24
Asian	7.92	2.01	0.25
Multiracial	3.42	1.30	0.38
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	37.20	3.13
White	60.23	45.65	0.76
Latinx	20.94	13.31	0.64
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.95	2.37	0.48
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	37.86	2.97
White	69.78	51.00	0.73
Latinx	7.81	7.60	0.97
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	2.68	0.51
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	27.52	2.61
White	71.33	58.50	0.82
Latinx	10.98	9.69	0.88
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	2.98	0.66
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	26.17	2.92
White	80.77	65.54	0.81
Latinx	4.20	4.09	0.97
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	3.32	0.74
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	36.71	1.86
White	67.97	55.25	0.81
Latinx	6.15	4.37	0.71
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.84	2.38	0.49
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	14.09	2.41
White	85.29	80.34	0.94
Latinx	4.17	2.14	0.51
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	2.65	0.73
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	45.75	1.93
White	31.02	14.71	0.47
Latinx	35.00	35.03	1.00
Asian	7.00	2.43	0.35
Multiracial	3.11	0.99	0.32

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.12 Children in indicated neglect investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in indicated neglect investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	26.91	3.50
White	52.26	35.87	0.69
Latinx	28.56	34.08	1.19
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	35.53	2.99
White	60.23	53.95	0.90
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	34.62	2.72
White	69.78	54.62	0.78
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	35.39	3.35
White	71.33	48.46	0.68
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	27.78	3.10
White	80.77	64.44	0.80
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	42.03	2.13
White	67.97	55.07	0.81
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	85.29	81.00	0.95
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	64.35	2.71
White	31.02	8.91	0.29
Latinx	35.00	21.73	0.62
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.13 Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in indicated environmental neglect investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	19.44	2.53
White	52.26	48.59	0.93
Latinx	28.56	27.11	0.95
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	24.00	2.02
White	60.23	69.00	1.15
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	24.24	1.90
White	69.78	69.13	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	14.59	1.38
White	71.33	74.73	1.05
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	13.36	1.49
White	80.77	82.06	1.02
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	33.18	1.68
White	67.97	63.13	0.93
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	8.10	1.39
White	85.29	89.50	1.05
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	60.24	2.54
White	31.02	11.04	0.36
Latinx	35.00	26.10	0.75
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table G.14 Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in indicated lack of supervision/lock out investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	35.74	4.65
White	52.26	31.27	0.60
Latinx	28.56	27.92	0.98
Asian	7.92	2.54	0.32
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	50.43	4.25
White	60.23	30.88	0.51
Latinx	20.94	14.45	0.69
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	48.46	3.81
White	69.78	44.02	0.63
Latinx	7.81	4.63	0.59
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	35.10	3.33
White	71.33	51.59	0.72
Latinx	10.98	9.09	0.83
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	31.79	3.55
White	80.77	60.19	0.75
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	53.26	2.70
White	67.97	38.04	0.56
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	16.28	2.79
White	85.29	78.16	0.92
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	62.70	2.64
White	31.02	9.52	0.31
Latinx	35.00	25.13	0.72
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.15 Children in indicated physical abuse investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in indicated physical abuse investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	27.99	3.64
White	52.26	31.81	0.61
Latinx	28.56	34.86	1.22
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	31.82	2.68
White	60.23	54.55	0.91
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	35.93	2.82
White	69.78	54.55	0.78
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	32.80	3.11
White	71.33	52.40	0.74
Latinx	10.98	11.20	1.02
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	31.96	3.57
White	80.77	62.37	0.77
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	43.62	2.21
White	67.97	46.98	0.69
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	14.71	2.52
White	85.29	80.00	0.94
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	56.76	2.39
White	31.02	10.22	0.33
Latinx	35.00	29.40	0.84
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.16 Children in indicated sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in indicated sexual abuse/ human trafficking investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	12.69	1.65
White	52.26	33.49	0.64
Latinx	28.56	48.17	1.69
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	60.23	67.49	1.12
Latinx	20.94	19.02	0.91
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	22.88	1.80
White	69.78	63.44	0.91
Latinx	7.81	9.20	1.18
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	14.65	1.39
White	71.33	69.92	0.98
Latinx	10.98	8.74	0.80
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	17.88	2.00
White	80.77	76.64	0.95
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	34.23	1.73
White	67.97	61.07	0.90
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	10.36	1.77
White	85.29	84.79	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	35.21	1.48
White	31.02	11.57	0.37
Latinx	35.00	50.25	1.44
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.17 Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in indicated emotional abuse investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.18 Children in indicated substance exposure investigations by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in indicated substance exposure investigations (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	19.14	2.49
White	52.26	54.94	1.05
Latinx	28.56	21.61	0.76
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	28.40	2.39
White	60.23	60.49	1.00
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	19.70	1.55
White	69.78	72.73	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	18.49	1.75
White	71.33	71.43	1.00
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	80.77	77.27	0.96
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	26.62	1.35
White	67.97	66.19	0.97
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	85.29	84.21	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	46.96	1.98
White	31.02	26.52	0.86
Latinx	35.00	24.78	0.71
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.19 Children in investigations screened by court (all maltreatment types) by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total Child Population (%)	Children in investigations screened by court (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	27.70	3.60
White	52.26	38.74	0.74
Latinx	28.56	28.37	0.99
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	34.66	2.92
White	60.23	48.67	0.81
Latinx	20.94	12.12	0.58
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	36.56	2.87
White	69.78	54.73	0.78
Latinx	7.81	4.73	0.61
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	2.63	0.50
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	27.91	2.65
White	71.33	60.06	0.84
Latinx	10.98	6.27	0.57
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	3.74	0.82
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	23.24	2.59
White	80.77	70.07	0.87
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	3.51	0.78
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	27.12	1.37
White	67.97	66.79	0.98
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	9.05	1.55
White	85.29	86.85	1.02
Latinx	4.17	1.44	0.35
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	1.70	0.47
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	61.70	2.60
White	31.02	11.31	0.36
Latinx	35.00	23.40	0.67
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.20 Children in risk of harm investigations screened by court by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in risk of harm in investigations screened by court (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	28.76	3.74
White	52.26	36.50	0.70
Latinx	28.56	29.64	1.04
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	36.09	3.04
White	60.23	46.90	0.78
Latinx	20.94	12.87	0.62
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	36.64	2.88
White	69.78	54.45	0.78
Latinx	7.81	5.02	0.64
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	3.14	0.60
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	30.17	2.86
White	71.33	58.13	0.82
Latinx	10.98	6.37	0.58
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	4.03	0.89
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	25.48	2.84
White	80.77	66.86	0.83
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	4.22	0.94
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	26.27	1.33
White	67.97	68.65	1.01
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	9.46	1.62
White	85.29	85.43	1.00
Latinx	4.17	1.71	0.41
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	2.17	0.60
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	60.78	2.56
White	31.02	12.13	0.39
Latinx	35.00	23.04	0.66
Asian	7.00	0.86	0.12
Multiracial	3.11	1.84	0.59

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.21 Children in neglect investigations screened by court by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in neglect in investigations screened by court (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	52.26	33.33	0.64
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	71.33	48.84	0.69
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	80.77	71.05	0.88
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	67.97	70.73	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	85.29	87.60	1.03
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	63.30	2.67
White	31.02	9.17	0.30
Latinx	35.00	19.27	0.55
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table G.22 Children in environmental neglect investigations screened by court by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in environmental neglect in investigations screened by court (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	24.65	3.21
White	52.26	46.48	0.89
Latinx	28.56	24.65	0.86
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	60.23	64.41	1.07
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	38.82	3.05
White	69.78	54.12	0.78
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	18.18	1.72
White	71.33	67.77	0.95
Latinx	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	26.53	2.96
White	80.77	71.43	0.88
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	28.26	1.43
White	67.97	69.57	1.02
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	8.24	1.41
White	85.29	89.18	1.05
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	64.09	2.70
White	31.02	9.55	0.31
Latinx	35.00	23.18	0.66
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.11	1.82	0.58

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.23 Children in lack of supervision/lock out investigations screened by court by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in lack of supervision/lock out in investigations screened by court (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	28.11	3.66
White	52.26	44.70	0.86
Latinx	28.56	23.04	0.81
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	43.27	3.65
White	60.23	44.23	0.73
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	47.09	3.70
White	69.78	47.57	0.68
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	29.58	2.80
White	71.33	59.86	0.84
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	80.77	77.50	0.96
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	35.40	1.79
White	67.97	59.29	0.87
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	7.76	1.33
White	85.29	88.81	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	64.04	2.70
White	31.02	7.89	0.25
Latinx	35.00	25.55	0.73
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.11	1.58	0.51

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.24 Children in physical abuse investigations screened by court by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in physical abuse in investigations screened by court (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	29.90	3.89
White	52.26	36.08	0.69
Latinx	28.56	27.84	0.98
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	60.23	46.81	0.78
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	43.75	3.44
White	69.78	50.00	0.72
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	31.58	2.99
White	71.33	48.68	0.68
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	40.00	4.46
White	80.77	52.86	0.65
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	67.97	65.52	0.96
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	85.29	88.59	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	64.58	2.72
White	31.02	7.64	0.25
Latinx	35.00	22.22	0.64
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.25 Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking investigations screened by court by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in sexual abuse/human trafficking in investigations screened by court (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	20.54	2.67
White	52.26	43.75	0.84
Latinx	28.56	27.68	0.97
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	60.23	67.44	1.12
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	19.36	1.52
White	69.78	68.55	0.98
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	15.09	1.43
White	71.33	72.64	1.02
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	80.77	79.55	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	67.97	67.31	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	7.95	1.36
White	85.29	89.86	1.05
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	32.96	1.39
White	31.02	12.50	0.40
Latinx	35.00	53.41	1.53
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.26 Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in emotional abuse investigations screened by court (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	85.29	96.67	1.13
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	31.02	13.33	0.43
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.27 Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total child population (%)	Children in substance exposure investigations screened by court (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	52.26	46.00	0.88
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	60.23	59.57	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	69.78	72.22	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	71.33	72.31	1.01
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	80.77	75.61	0.94
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	67.97	69.32	1.02
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	85.29	92.80	1.09
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	53.19	2.24
White	31.02	22.34	0.72
Latinx	35.00	23.40	0.67
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.28 Children in protective custodies by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total Child Population (%)	Children in protective custodies (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	31.41	4.09
White	52.26	38.09	0.73
Latinx	28.56	26.57	0.93
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	40.92	3.45
White	60.23	46.34	0.77
Latinx	20.94	10.23	0.49
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	35.66	2.80
White	69.78	55.94	0.80
Latinx	7.81	5.59	0.72
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	29.01	2.75
White	71.33	61.37	0.86
Latinx	10.98	5.72	0.52
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	3.77	0.83
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	25.71	2.87
White	80.77	66.83	0.83
Latinx	4.20	3.81	0.91
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	3.33	0.74
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	33.84	1.71
White	67.97	59.66	0.88
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	12.48	2.14
White	85.29	82.21	0.96
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	2.79	0.77
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	59.86	2.52
White	31.02	12.24	0.40
Latinx	35.00	24.48	0.70
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.29 Children involved in safety plans by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total Child Population (%)	Children involved in safety plans (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	27.09	3.52
White	52.26	39.96	0.77
Latinx	28.56	29.87	1.05
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.42	1.88	0.55
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	33.39	2.81
White	60.23	52.42	0.87
Latinx	20.94	10.14	0.48
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	34.10	2.68
White	69.78	56.86	0.82
Latinx	7.81	5.41	0.69
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	2.74	0.52
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	27.73	2.63
White	71.33	59.76	0.84
Latinx	10.98	7.48	0.68
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	3.57	0.79
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	24.06	2.68
White	80.77	68.94	0.85
Latinx	4.20	3.50	0.83
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	3.04	0.68
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	32.31	1.64
White	67.97	61.37	0.90
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	11.88	2.03
White	85.29	82.02	0.96
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	3.22	0.89
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	57.66	2.43
White	31.02	12.17	0.39
Latinx	35.00	27.07	0.77
Asian	7.00	1.43	0.20
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table G.30 Children who entered substitute care by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Total Child Population (%)	Children entering substitute care (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	7.69	30.00	3.90
White	52.26	40.28	0.77
Latinx	28.56	25.35	0.89
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	11.87	39.66	3.34
White	60.23	45.43	0.75
Latinx	20.94	11.06	0.53
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	12.73	32.08	2.52
White	69.78	58.60	0.84
Latinx	7.81	5.93	0.76
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	5.24	3.03	0.58
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	10.55	29.36	2.78
White	71.33	60.49	0.85
Latinx	10.98	5.76	0.53
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.55	4.12	0.90
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	8.97	24.89	2.78
White	80.77	67.79	0.84
Latinx	4.20	3.37	0.80
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	4.49	3.51	0.78
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	19.75	36.09	1.83
White	67.97	57.57	0.85
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	5.84	10.92	1.87
White	85.29	83.61	0.98
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.63	3.08	0.85
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	23.74	64.48	2.72
White	31.02	10.82	0.35
Latinx	35.00	21.97	0.63
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.31 Children in kinship foster care placements by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in kinship foster care placements (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	31.59	1.01
White	37.22	35.98	0.97
Latinx	27.81	29.26	1.05
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	2.85	2.72	0.96
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	38.17	1.02
White	47.28	46.88	0.99
Latinx	10.90	11.52	1.06
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.20	2.55	0.80
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	34.80	1.03
White	58.14	57.52	0.99
Latinx	4.34	4.27	0.98
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.23	3.13	0.97
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	26.18	0.95
White	62.06	63.25	1.02
Latinx	6.60	6.75	1.02
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	2.95	2.98	1.01
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	21.99	0.94
White	70.61	72.16	1.02
Latinx	2.64	2.78	1.05
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.32	2.95	0.89
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	35.55	1.01
White	58.85	58.21	0.99
Latinx	3.23	3.21	0.99
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	2.43	2.60	1.07
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	12.95	11.63	0.90
White	81.72	83.29	1.02
Latinx	2.27	2.12	0.94
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	2.81	2.66	0.95
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	65.00	0.97
White	10.62	10.36	0.98
Latinx	20.23	22.68	1.12
Asian	0.78	0.87	1.13
Multiracial	1.11	0.95	0.86

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.32 Children in traditional foster care placements by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in traditional foster care placements (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	28.35	0.90
White	37.22	39.53	1.06
Latinx	27.81	28.63	1.03
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	35.28	0.94
White	47.28	48.73	1.03
Latinx	10.90	10.91	1.00
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	32.27	0.96
White	58.14	56.89	0.98
Latinx	4.34	5.98	1.38
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.23	3.89	1.21
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	31.64	1.15
White	62.06	57.13	0.92
Latinx	6.60	6.52	0.99
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	2.95	3.62	1.23
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	27.76	1.19
White	70.61	64.77	0.92
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.32	3.74	1.13
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	31.38	0.89
White	58.85	61.10	1.04
Latinx	3.23	4.77	1.48
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	12.95	17.29	1.34
White	81.72	75.94	0.93
Latinx	2.27	3.03	1.34
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	2.81	3.60	1.28
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	68.40	1.02
White	10.62	11.98	1.13
Latinx	20.23	17.19	0.85
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	1.11	1.42	1.28

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.33 Children in specialized foster care placements by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in specialized foster care placements (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	39.71	1.26
White	37.22	35.14	0.94
Latinx	27.81	21.43	0.77
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	37.31	0.99
White	47.28	44.65	0.94
Latinx	10.90	10.70	0.98
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	33.86	1.00
White	58.14	58.66	1.01
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	28.30	1.03
White	62.06	63.52	1.02
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	29.50	1.27
White	70.61	64.39	0.91
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	48.04	1.37
White	58.85	46.62	0.79
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	12.95	16.74	1.29
White	81.72	78.61	0.96
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	71.47	1.07
White	10.62	9.27	0.87
Latinx	20.23	17.27	0.85
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.34 Children in congregate care placements by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in congregate care placements (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	38.31	1.22
White	37.22	42.79	1.15
Latinx	27.81	14.43	0.52
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	44.95	1.20
White	47.28	46.79	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	30.95	0.92
White	58.14	61.91	1.07
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	33.80	1.23
White	62.06	57.75	0.93
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	24.44	1.05
White	70.61	69.63	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	47.12	1.34
White	58.85	48.08	0.82
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	12.95	14.20	1.10
White	81.72	80.25	0.98
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	78.76	1.17
White	10.62	6.81	0.64
Latinx	20.23	13.23	0.65
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.35 Children in substitute care less than 12 months before exiting by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in care less than 12 months (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	44.68	1.42
White	37.22	36.17	0.97
Latinx	27.81	16.31	0.59
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	42.27	1.12
White	47.28	31.96	0.68
Latinx	10.90	22.68	2.08
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	42.06	1.25
White	58.14	54.76	0.94
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	21.62	0.79
White	62.06	66.67	1.07
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	29.00	1.24
White	70.61	60.00	0.85
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	50.69	1.45
White	58.85	43.84	0.75
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	81.72	80.34	0.98
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	66.99	1.00
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.36 Children in substitute care 12-23 months before exiting by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in care 12-23 months (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	29.79	0.95
White	37.22	37.23	1.00
Latinx	27.81	30.32	1.09
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	32.73	0.87
White	47.28	50.91	1.08
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	31.95	0.95
White	58.14	60.36	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	24.14	0.88
White	62.06	67.67	1.09
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	24.11	1.03
White	70.61	73.05	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	22.14	0.63
White	58.85	74.05	1.26
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	81.72	84.73	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	64.74	0.96
White	10.62	14.10	1.33
Latinx	20.23	18.59	0.92
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.37 Children in substitute care 24-35 months before exiting by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in care 24-35 months (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	21.01	0.67
White	37.22	44.93	1.21
Latinx	27.81	31.16	1.12
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	29.59	0.79
White	47.28	52.04	1.10
Latinx	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	35.97	1.07
White	58.14	54.68	0.94
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	21.64	0.79
White	62.06	64.42	1.04
Latinx	6.60	11.06	1.68
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	22.90	0.98
White	70.61	69.47	0.98
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	26.61	0.76
White	58.85	66.97	1.14
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	81.72	86.93	1.06
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	54.51	0.81
White	10.62	10.81	1.02
Latinx	20.23	28.83	1.43
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.



**Table G.38 Children in substitute care 36-47 months before exiting by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in care 36-47 months (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	36.77	1.17
White	*	*	*
Latinx	27.81	35.29	1.27
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	30.99	0.82
White	47.28	53.52	1.13
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	25.64	0.76
White	58.14	67.52	1.16
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	31.62	1.15
White	62.06	64.10	1.03
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	70.61	78.36	1.11
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	31.58	0.90
White	58.85	67.37	1.15
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	81.72	84.62	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	59.29	0.88
White	10.62	15.71	1.48
Latinx	20.23	23.57	1.17
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.39 Children in substitute care 48-59 months before exiting by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in care 48-59 months (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	37.22	45.83	1.23
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	58.14	86.05	1.48
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	29.67	1.08
White	62.06	54.95	0.89
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	70.61	65.15	0.92
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	58.85	71.43	1.21
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	81.72	80.95	0.99
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	67.63	1.01
White	*	*	*
Latinx	20.23	15.83	0.78
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.40 Children in substitute care 60 or more months before exiting by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children in care 60 or more months (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	46.81	1.49
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	58.14	62.86	1.08
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	43.86	1.59
White	62.06	49.12	0.79
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	70.61	75.93	1.08
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	38.33	1.09
White	58.85	61.67	1.05
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	81.72	82.69	1.01
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	75.91	1.13
White	10.62	9.12	0.86
Latinx	20.23	13.87	0.69
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.41 Children who achieved permanence by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children who achieved permanence (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	33.18	1.06
White	37.22	37.62	1.01
Latinx	27.81	26.67	0.96
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	34.44	0.92
White	47.28	46.67	0.99
Latinx	10.90	13.78	1.26
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	32.27	0.96
White	58.14	61.21	1.05
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	26.23	0.95
White	62.06	63.48	1.02
Latinx	6.60	6.86	1.04
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	2.95	2.94	1.00
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	21.41	0.92
White	70.61	70.77	1.00
Latinx	2.64	3.51	1.33
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	3.32	4.15	1.25
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	30.78	0.88
White	58.85	65.29	1.11
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	12.95	12.21	0.94
White	81.72	83.82	1.03
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	65.38	0.97
White	10.62	12.38	1.17
Latinx	20.23	19.34	0.96
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.42 Children who achieved permanence through reunification by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children who achieved reunification (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	36.06	1.15
White	37.22	32.99	0.89
Latinx	27.81	27.88	1.00
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	36.02	0.96
White	47.28	42.53	0.90
Latinx	10.90	17.24	1.58
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	38.72	1.15
White	58.14	54.10	0.93
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	25.61	0.93
White	62.06	63.31	1.02
Latinx	6.60	7.46	1.13
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	25.08	1.08
White	70.61	67.75	0.96
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	35.90	1.02
White	58.85	58.97	1.00
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	12.95	12.10	0.93
White	81.72	82.80	1.01
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	61.17	0.91
White	10.62	12.77	1.20
Latinx	20.23	22.70	1.12
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.43 Children who achieved permanence through adoption by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children who achieved adoption (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	31.41	28.89	0.92
White	37.22	44.44	1.19
Latinx	27.81	25.00	0.90
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	37.62	29.94	0.80
White	47.28	53.67	1.14
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	33.79	21.08	0.62
White	58.14	73.54	1.27
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	27.56	27.76	1.01
White	62.06	62.74	1.01
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	23.31	17.59	0.75
White	70.61	73.45	1.04
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	35.09	24.49	0.70
White	58.85	73.47	1.25
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	12.95	12.86	0.99
White	81.72	83.60	1.02
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	68.21	1.02
White	10.62	14.24	1.34
Latinx	20.23	15.56	0.77
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.

**Table G.44 Children who achieved permanence through guardianship by subregion and Cook region (FY2023)**

	Children in substitute care during the year (%)	Children who achieved guardianship (%)	RDI
<b>Aurora Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	37.22	47.46	1.28
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Rockford Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Champaign Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	*	*	*
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Peoria Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	62.06	68.42	1.10
Latinx	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
<b>Springfield Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	70.61	75.86	1.07
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>East St. Louis Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	58.85	68.29	1.16
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Marion Subregion</b>			
Black	*	*	*
White	81.72	90.91	1.11
Latinx	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*
<b>Cook Region</b>			
Black	67.13	74.41	1.11
White	*	*	*
Latinx	20.23	14.88	0.74
Asian	*	*	*
Multiracial	*	*	*

Note. If the number of children is 20 or fewer or the base population for calculating an RDI is less than 0.1%, the RDI and the percentages used to compute the RDI are masked with an asterisk (\*) and are not reported.